

Enhancing the Value of Solar Electricity at High Penetrations

James Hyungkwan Kim, **Andrew Mills**, Ryan Wiser, Mark Bolinger, Will Gorman, Cristina Crespo Montanes, Eric O'Shaughnessy

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

ESIG 2021 Spring Workshop
Session 10: The Evolving Hybrid Power Plant
April 1, 2021

This work was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office's SunShot National Laboratory Multiyear Partnership (SuNLaMP), under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES AREA | ENERGY ANALYSIS AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS DIVISION | ELECTRICITY MARKETS & POLICY



Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.


Copyright Notice

This manuscript has been authored by an author at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government retains, and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges, that the U.S. Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes




Increasing Solar Penetration Can Decrease the Marginal Value of Additional Solar

Obvious Impacts of Solar on the California ISO



Declining Marginal Value of Solar Relative to Average Prices



Solar Value Factor = Value of Solar/ Value of a Flat Block of Power

Source: Solar-to-Grid Report with Data Through 2019
<https://emp.lbl.gov/renewable-grid-insights>



Solar Plant Developers (and Purchasers) Have Many Options to Boost Value at the Plant Level

South-facing, Fixed Tilt






Single-Axis Tracking

Westward Orientation


Vertical Bifacial Modules

Hybrid

...and many more



Decision Framework Compares Grid-friendly PV Options to a Base PV Plant Under the Same Conditions



Key Assumptions and Methods

Configurations

Base PV plant: 1.3 inverter loading ratio (ILR), Fixed-tilt, South-facing, Sited near existing plants

Alternative options: Single-axis tracking, Westward orientation, 1.7 ILR, Vertical bifacial, Provide regulation reserves, Adjust location, Hybrid

Solar production modeled in NREL's System Advisor Model using historical insolation data from NSRDB

Market Setting

California ISO with 1.4% solar (market prices from 2012) or 16.3% solar (from 2018)

Base plant uses prices from CAISO's SP15 Hub

Value Calculations

Marginal system value estimated from wholesale market revenue with real-time prices

Capacity price adder allocated to top 100 net load hours, assuming \$50/kW-yr capacity price

Cost

Base plant costs consistent with NREL's Annual Technology Baseline, inclusive of ITC

Additional capital costs for alternatives estimated from SAM or the broader literature

Hybrid Configuration and Dispatch

Battery sized to 50% of nameplate capacity, 4-hour duration, AC-coupled (except where noted)


Interconnection capacity limited to the solar plant capacity; storage can only charge from solar

Hybrid dispatch uses perfect foresight of prices (upper bound) or is set using day-ahead prices and paid real-time prices (lower bound)


Many alternative hybrids are created by adding storage to different PV subsystems, including a DC-coupled configuration




Better Alignment of Production with Peak Prices Increases Value; Hybrid Increases the Value the Most



Impact of Higher Solar Penetration on Value Depends on the Way Production is Shifted by the Option




Irrespective of the PV Subsystem Profile, the Output of Hybrid Plants During the Higher Priced Hours is Similar



Note: Storage is now added to all different PV subsystems (not just Base)




...But Additional Storage Costs are Allocated Over Varying Annual Production Levels, Impacting \$/MWh Costs



The shaded rectangular boxes represent ranges of value and cost changes across all hybrid configurations relative to the base PV plant



Generation-maximizing Strategies with Energy-Shifting Capabilities of Hybrids Result in Highest Net-Value at Higher Solar Penetration




Conclusions

- Standalone PV design options can help maintain PV's grid value, but the net value (grid value–system cost) is marginal and varies with solar penetration
- Adding storage to the PV configurations alters the cost and value dramatically
 - Strategies that shift the timing of PV generation at the expense of total generation results in net value penalties with storage
 - These strategies become redundant when the energy-shifting capabilities of storage are added
- Strategies that maximize PV generation provide the largest net-value gains when combined with storage, especially at high PV penetrations
- These findings may aid stakeholders who are seeking to achieve (or understand the implications of) high solar penetration



Ongoing Future Work

Geospatial



How does the value of coupled systems vary with location?

Configurations



How does the net value of coupled systems change with different configurations?

Ancillary Services



What is the ancillary service value for standalone and coupled systems?

Participation Models



How do different participation models affect the economic and reliability impacts of hybrids?



Contacts

James Hyungkwan Kim (hyungkwankim@lbl.gov)

Andrew D. Mills (ADMills@lbl.gov)

For more information

Download publications from the Electricity Markets & Policy: <https://emp.lbl.gov/publications>

Sign up for our email list: <https://emp.lbl.gov/mailling-list>

Follow the Electricity Markets & Policy on Twitter: @BerkeleyLabEMP


Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office's SunShot National Laboratory Multiyear Partnership (SuNLaMP), under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231

The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.




Value of the Base PV Configuration at Other Locations



Black dots: Location of base PV plant at the centroid of currently installed PV

Contour line: 95th percentile of alternative locations

Providing Regulation Reserves from Standalone PV Modestly Increases Net Value




Optimistic assumptions: PV plant has the option of providing regulation and is capable of scheduling the regulation reserves with perfect foresight of market conditions including regulation reserve prices, energy prices, and solar production

Assume solar can offer at most 10% of its nameplate capacity as regulation reserves.
Of the awarded capacity, 30% of solar that provides regulation down is curtailed and 70% of regulation up.



Primary Findings are Not Unique to California



Wholesale prices from 2030 simulations of markets with 2016 levels of VRE ("Low") and 30% Solar/10% Wind ("High")

Prices are further described in Seel et al. 2018

