



What Difference Energy Policy Makes for Future Energy Systems

UVIG Spring 2018 mtg, Tucson AZ Closing Plenary Session



William D'haeseleer KU Leuven / EnergyVille/ iiESI

FESI International Institute[™] for Energy Systems Integration



EU's Implementation Energy & Climate

Major challenge to satisfy all three simultaneously

EU's trilemma !

- Objectives
 - 2020 targets (20-20-20)



Medium Term EU Energy Policy

- By EU considered as "given" / "decided" / to be implemented
- 2030 as intermediate step
 - Long enough to do something; close enough to say meaningful things
- \circ 2050 vision (reduction CO₂ by > 85%)
 - Need energy revolution / paradigm shift

EU 20-20-20 targets by 2020



EU-27 efforts in Renewables



EU's long-term CO₂ reduction targets Climate Change Roadmap - 2050

Figure 1: EU GHG emissions towards an 80% domestic reduction (100% =1990)







Source: Eurostat

Ref: EU RES Progress report, 2015

PV evolution worldwide



Ref: EPIA 2014

PV evolution worldwide

FIGURE 3 EVOLUTION OF GLOBAL SOLAR PV CUMULATIVE INSTALLED CAPACITY 2000-2014



PV evolution worldwide

FIGURE 2 EVOLUTION OF GLOBAL SOLAR PV ANNUAL INSTALLED CAPACITY 2000-2014



Ref: EPIA 2015

Figure 9.9 Solar PV capacity additions in European Union and selected countries, 2007-2014



Has the PV market in the EU peaked?

IEA WEO November 2015

FIGURE 3 EVOLUTION OF GLOBAL ANNUAL SOLAR PV INSTALLED CAPACITY 2000-2016



- All this progress seems to be too nice to be true...
 And it is...
- There are major system effects that have been neglected and that may jeopardize further success of RES deployment!
- One has gone too rapidly recently, with danger of losing support of population!





THE MARCH OF FOLLY

Pursuit of policy

contrary to self-interest



Major challenge to satisfy all three simultaneously

EU's trilemma !

Textbook example of "well intended measures" but ...

because *lack of system thinking* serious issues / problems

→ EU electricity market in cirisis !!



Environment

Climate

Change

Nature

Preservation

Kvoto and

Post-Kyoto

French Report January 2014



Avec les contributions de Marc Oliver Bettzüge, Dieter Helm et Fabien Roques Including contributions by Marc Oliver Bettzüge, Dieter Helm and Fabien Roques

Issues / challenges / problems in the EU market

- Technical challenges
- Market-integration problems
- Consequences for the CO₂ emissions
- End-electricity prices for end consumers

Consequences of renewables quota in end-<u>energy</u> terms (1)

- Total end energy = electric energy + fuel for heat + fuel for transportation
- EU requirement by 2020: 20% of end <u>energy</u> from RES
- For transportation only 10% ... \rightarrow for electric sector ~ 34%
- Expectations / outcome ("steered" by differentiated subsidies):
 - Hydro ~ only small increase possible
 - Biomass ~ moderated increase (protests against co-combustion, imported biomass pellets, sustainability questions)
 - Wind onshore + offshore / ENOH onsh ~ 2200h/a offsh ~ 3500 h/a
 - Solar photovoltaics (PV) / ENOH Belgium ~ 800 h/y
- Total: 8760 h/a \rightarrow low capacity factors of these intermittent sources



Consequences of renewables quota in end-<u>energy</u> terms (2)

- Capacity factors intermittent sources (wind + PV):
 - $_{\odot}$ Wind onshore + offshore / CF ~ 25% 30%
 - Solar photovoltaics (PV) / CF ~ 10%
- To produce 34% electric energy with something that operates only 10% or 25-30% of the time, you must install a large amount of installed power (called "capacity") → leads to massive overcapacity
- If there is a lot of wind and sun, and low demand (e.g., weekends), then too much electricity produced
- But sometimes in case of cold spell (cfr winter Feb 2012) with temp inversion... little wind and 'dark' (hence no PV) at 17.00h-18.00h, when peak demand arises in NW-Europe! → very little RES electricity produced



Consequences of renewables quota in end-<u>energy</u> terms (3)

- Intermittency: defined as "variable" and "partly unpredictable"
- How deal with massive "intermittency" in electricity system?
 Six major '<u>flexibility</u>'-enabling elements:
 - Back up reserves from flexible dispachable thermal plants (+ & -)
 - **o** Electric storage (large scale electric storage not available)
 - Expansion of *transmission grid*
 - Encourage active demand response (ADR)
 - *Curtailing* of superfluous RES production / review priority access
 - Interaction with other carriers/sectors

Issues / challenges / problems in the EU market

- Technical challenges
- Market-integration problems
- Consequences for the CO₂ emissions
- End-electricity prices for end consumers



Figure 1. Power generation across one week in July 2020, BEE scenario [6].

Residual power demand in Germany February 2009 and projection to 2020



→ thermal plants must balance very quickly
→ challenging requirements for thermal power plants!

• Ectually not totally new (football game in Brazil 2010)



Notes: during the game of Brazil (3) vs. Chile (0) on 24 June 2010.

Ref: IEA, 2010

What are critical generation-technology parameters?

24

- Ramp rates compatible with technology
- Overall dynamic behavior





15

20

25

KU LEUVEN

30

New CCGT

5

10

200

Ω

Steep ramp rates of nuclear, already used e.g. in



The duck curve shows steep ramping needs and overgeneration risk

(from the California Independent System Operator)

KU LEUVEN

Ref: Paul Denholm, NREL, London, 2015



With appropriate flexibility strategies:

Teaching the duck to fly!

KU LEUVEN

Reference: Lazar, 2016, RAP

Teaching the "Duck" to Fly:

10 strategies to control generation, manage demand, & flatten the Duck Curve



Duck Sitting in Water

Duck in Flight





Message:

with careful system integration approach and 'allowing' the flexibility to act, solutions are possible!

KU LEUVEN

Ref: Lazar, 2016, RAP

Issues / challenges / problems in the EU market

- Technical challenges
- Market-integration problems
- Consequences for the CO₂ emissions
- End-electricity prices for end consumers

But ... Recent developments... !!!



EVOLUTIE VAN DE ELEKTRICITEITSPRIJS Y+1 (€/MWh)

KU LEUVEN

Ref: CREG 2014

65 **Problems** 60 in France 55 50 45 BE Y+1 40 NL Y+1 DE Y+1 35 FR Y+1 30 25 20

EVOLUTIE VAN DE ELEKTRICITEITSPRIJS Y+1 (€/MWh)

Ref: CREG May 2017







Courtesy Dieter Patteeuw KULv

Issues / challenges / problems in the EU market

- Technical challenges
- Market-integration problems
- Consequences for the CO₂ emissions
- End-electricity prices for end consumers

EU energy and climate policy







Reduction of greenhouse gases

Energy consumption, Efficiency increase Share of renewable energy



EU energy and climate policy EU ETS

-20% GHG wrt 1990 = -14% GHG wrt 2005	
Emission Trading Scheme (ETS)	Effort Sharing Decision
 -21% GHG compared to 2005 ~45% GHG, ~50% CO₂ one EU-wide system for heavy industries power and heat sector energy intensive industrial sectors aviation (from 2012, within ETS) cap-and-trade system 	• -10% GHG compared to 2005 • \sim 55% GHG, \sim 50% CO ₂ • <u>Member State</u> targets for small emitters 20% 15% 10% 5% 10% 15% 10% 15% 10% 15% 10% 15% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10

EU energy and climate policy EU ETS

• EU ETS price:



EU's implementation – Climate change

Consequences for the CO₂ emissions

- Very low prices for CO₂ emission permits ("allowances")
- Due to
 - economic crisis (less CO_2 emissions) in 2008-2014
 - **"banking"** of allowances from phase 2
 - massive injection RES with priority access → reduces demand for fossil generation → reduces demand for CO₂ allowances → <u>lower CO₂ prices</u>

i.e., highly subsidized RES effectively subsidize cheap coal by keeping the CO_2 penalties low !!

KU LEU

EU's implementation – Climate change Interaction between targets

- Provocative observations:
 - All RES injected into electric power system in EU has not avoided one ton of CO₂ in EU!
 - Premature closure of coal plants in DE has no consequence for CO_2 in EU!
 - Same applies to phase out of nuclear plants in DE or BE.
 - Promotion large scale CHP does not make a difference for EU CO₂!
 - Because of the cap for ETS !!
 Less CO₂ here allows more CO₂ elsewhere!
 - Coal units to be closed because of air pollution!

EU's implementation – Climate change

Treibhausgasemissionen in Deutschland 1990 bis 2013

CO₂ emissions Germany

KU LEUVEN

in Millionen Tonnen CO2-Equivalente

1400

(Ref. Der Speigel Energiewende)



EU's implementation – Climate change



* Ziele 2020 bis 2050: Energiekonzept der Bundesregierung (2010)

** Schätzung 2016

Quelle: Umweltbundesamt, Nationale Treibhausgas-Inventare 1990 bis 2015 (Stand 02/2017) und Schätzung für 2016 (Stand 03/2017)

EU energy and climate policy towards 2030



EU energy and climate policy towards 2030

EU GHG-reduction targets for 2030 following two separate philosophies



Issues / challenges / problems in the EU market

- Technical challenges
- Market-integration problems
- Consequences for the CO₂ emissions
- End-electricity prices for end consumers

Example dichotomy electricity prices

EVOLUTIE VAN DE ELEKTRICITEITSPRIJS Y+1 (€/MWh)



Example dichotomy electricity prices

276 250 225 200 175 150 emm 125 100 TACKET 75 -----50 End consumer (retail) tariffs 25 80-12 80-12 80-12 an-13 jan-07 Jul-13 Jan-15 ju-15 okr-15 TD--07 10 kt-10 E H 5 5 por-13 okt-13 ar-14 4 Ŧ, okt-14 apr-15

46/Tot.

Evolutie van de prijs aan de eindgebruiker - Dc -

Near & Far-Future Challenges

- Commission EU has launched new energy market design proposal (Winter Package Nov 30 2016)
 - Tries to correct flaws in the system (CEU recognized flaws)...
 - Currently treated by EU Parliament and Council...
 - Hopefully final outcome will remain ± consistent ??
- But long-term issues to be studied further!
 - Ample zero marginal cost generation \rightarrow pricing?
 - More RES piling up at same moment? Superfluous... Storage or ESI (heat, transport, P2fuel)
 - Concern: more self consumption emergency pricing?

Conclusions

- EU policy makers picture a rosy situation. But...
- European electricity system is in crisis
 - Many distortions
 - System cost grossly underestimated
 - To be paid for by consumers, tax payers or share holders (pension funds...)
- Energy issue is very complicated because <u>interactions</u>
 → Need more need to <u>study</u> the system effects
- Policy makes must acknowledge system effects:
 - Need stable & simple regulatory environment
 - Give freedom to market players to provide services: ESCOs, Aggregators...

KUI

• Far future daunting challenges!



