Closing remarks: Launching a research career focused on 100%

Madeleine McPherson Civil Engineering, University of Victoria Tuesday, March 26, 2019 Imperial College London 2nd International Conference on Energy Systems Integration

Presentation Outline

Key themes

Lessons: Launching a 100% research program

Problem orientation

Collaboration

Flexible Frameworks

Two-pronged approach

Asking the right research questions

ESI Interactions: key conference themes

The physical system

Co-optimization of the electricity and gas networks

Emerging area

Opportunity to build resilience

Lots of questions (intent); Lots of room for answers (studies)





The physical system

Limited scope of all studies

Moving beyond narrow study scopes -> ESI driver Mechanisms to pick up where others left off (open data & models)

Pathways vs. targets; near-term solutions vs. long-terms solutions

Holistic thinking

-> central planning vs. markets -> optimization vs. agent-based

Big drivers: price signals (markets) -> consumer behavior (including non-price drivers – ego, altruism, hedonism)

- -> demand and storage setting marginal prices
- -> not an engineering or technical solutions!

SESIT Group

The societal dimension

Energy system transitions have driven larger, more systemic societal shifts

Framing the transition within its historical context Remind us of the enormity of the societal shift Underscores the link between the technical and human shifts

What tools and strategies do we have at our disposal to navigate the human transition?



The institutional systems

Expansion of geographic scales

Interconnected grids sharing resources over large areas One of the key ideas coming out of grid integration studies

Smaller and more local resources on that large grid The `uberization of electricity' Self generation & storage Peer-to-peer sharing with blockchain

Integration of zero marginal cost resources

Chicken and egg – develop solutions in current regulatory framework vs. explore revised regulatory framework and their impact on solutions

How can institutional systems, such as ownership or market frameworks, facilitate (or hinder) a speedy transition?





Civil Engineering



Navigating in this vast ESI space

Five lessons

Lesson: From discipline orientation to problem orientation

Universities' departmental orientation is a bad fit for ESI ESI doesn't fit into engineering faculty let alone civil, electrical, or mechanical Role for theme-focused centers to complement discipline structure

...but center impact can be marginalized by university structure

- Evaluation is at department level
- Service is at department level
- Funding (e.g. NSERC in Canada) is focus on evaluation groups (disciplines)

Look to places like NREL, IIASA for inspiration





Lesson: From individual research to collaboration

The focus is now (as it should be) is on integration ...of systems, of ideas, of disciplines, of models, of data, etc.

By definition: synthesis across a network rather than individual research `Deep' collaboration / beyond collaboration

But our institutional design is deeply siloed

Discipline orientation

Evaluation metrics

Bureaucratic issues with co-supervision

Funding – feasibility based on individual's merits rather than collaboration plan

SESIT Group

Lesson: From 'pet' models to a flexible framework

Many attempts at a panacea model

SESIT Group

Major lift gathering expertise, developing model, collecting data, ...

Leads to a tendency to commit to a particular model

-> commitment to research paradigm

-> limited to a certain scope of insight ...

...but then every research question is unique

Problematic: digging into silos that will stunt the energy system transition

Shift to frameworks that prioritizes adaptability and flexible

Flexible and open access data and models



Civil

Engineering

Proposed research platform

Spans scales, vectors, systems >> integration

Modular design >> adaptable

SESIT Group



Transport sector model







Civil Engineering

Building model



SESIT Group



Engineering

Image source: http://blog.studioluz.net/2011/09/e-green-building-housing/

Lesson: Two-pronged approach

Combining research with ready policy analysis

Novel research endeavours Identify and frame upcoming and impactful issues several years out

Repository of robust and ready platforms Quick turnaround deployment to engage when policy windows open





Approach: Policy harmonization across jurisdictions

Jurisdiction specific policy recommendations Targeted policies per jurisdiction Municipal: land-use & transportation Provincial: electricity system Federal: fuel standards

Consistent targets

Do the summation of municipal targets & actions meet provincial targets? Do provincial targets & actions meet federal targets?

ngineering





Lesson: Asking the right research questions

Models are just models... how do we generate practical results?

Wide open research space

Easy to come up with research questions

But asking the right research questions is difficult Particularly for modellers (myself included) bound by our own modelling framework What can my model answer instead of what needs to be answered

Need a collaborative approach to coming up with the research questions

Enter: roadmap



Mapping the space: ESI Interactions

Physical system

Energy vectors Energy infrastructure

Iniversity

Societal Category of actions Actors' influence

Institutional Systems

Institutional platforms Institution's influence

Civil

Engineering

Physical system: the machines and wires that make the energy system work

Institutional framework: the platforms which engage and the govern energy system actors and infrastructure

Societal system: the stakeholders that make decisions within the energy system

SESIT Group

Proposed Framework/Methodology

Three parts:

(1) Mapping the space via interacting systems

(2) Illustrating systems' components via cartesian graphs

(3) Developing a Roadmap progress matrix

| Cartesian plots | Bubbles in our cartesian plots | Present | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | ••• |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------|------|------|------|-----|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Х



Х

>

Proposed Framework/Methodology

Three parts:

- (1) Mapping the space via interacting systems Outline the key systems that constitute ESI
- (2) Illustrating systems' components via cartesian graphs Describe each system from (1) in terms of components Populate the graphs with areas of research (e.g. technology or question)
- (3) Developing a Roadmap progress matrix Chart the progress of each area of research





Thank you

mmcpherson@uvic.ca