Modeling the Value of Existing Pumped Storage Hydro in a High Renewable Future

DE-EE0008783 - Predicting Unique Market Pumped Storage Significance (PUMPSS)

Genevieve de Mijolla Nikita Singhal Majid Heidarifar Aidan Tuohy Mobolaji Bello Joseph Stekli

ESIG 2023 Fall Technical Workshop October 25, 2023

 Image: margin black
 Image: margin black

 www.epri.com
 © 2022 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved



Key Objectives and Project Setup

Project objectives

- Identify and demonstrate the current state of the art in modeling of PSH operation in high VRE resource mix scenarios.
- Improve state-of-the-art modeling approaches to better capture value of provision of certain essential reliability services (e.g., ramping, regulation, etc.)
- Examine the value of different PSH technologies/configurations to better understand which technologies and storage durations may provide the most value.
- Study across two different regions (ISO market and vertically integrated) with different resource mixes to see how value may change under different circumstances

PSO/Enelytix software tool:

- Mixed integer program that co-optimizes energy and ancillary services in nested time intervals
- Allows for advanced treatment of uncertainty and variability, flexible technologies, and ancillary services

| Pumped storage characteristics | Duke | NYISO |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Capacity (MW) | 2,180 | 1,160 |
| Reservoir size (MWh) | 45,000 | 14,000 |
| Efficiency | 80% | 71% |

Note: The NYISO PSH plant is more constrained than the Duke ones, including modeling of capacity market obligations, assigned turbine and pump on/off periods, and hurdle rate costs.





Renewable penetration scenarios (NYISO)

The benefit in the DA cycle is slightly larger than in the RT cycle, because Gilboa dispatch is optimized in the DA cycle, and its schedule fixed in the RT cycle.

| | DA Benefit of Gilboa | RT Benefit of Gilboa | DA Benefit of | RT Benefit of |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Plant (\$million) | Plant (\$million) | Gilboa Plant (%) | Gilboa Plant (%) |
| Base VRE Mix | \$2.12 | \$2.02 | 0.08% | 0.08% |
| Moderate VRE Mix | \$6.25 | \$5.92 | 0.34% | 0.31% |
| High VRE Mix | \$19.05 | \$17.27 | 1.24% | 1.13% |



Total system cost savings from Gilboa PSH plant



Renewable penetration scenarios (NYISO)



As renewable penetration increases, the price separation between on-peak and off-peak spot prices increases, which leads to increased cycling of the Gilboa PSH units, and thus increased system benefit.



Renewable penetration scenarios (NYISO) – Gilboa profit



Both energy and ancillary service revenues increase with the increased penetration of VERs.

Revenues from the provision of energy service are much larger than those obtained from the provision of ancillary services. This is because of the relatively much lower requirement for ancillary services compared to energy in the system.

| $PSH \ Value(\frac{\$}{MWh}) =$ | total system operating cost savings |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | annual Gilboa generation |

| PSH Value | Base VRE Mix | Moderate VRE Mix | High VRE Mix |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Day-ahead | 12.3 \$/MWh | 11.4 \$/MWh | 19.6 \$/MWh |
| Real-time | 11.8 \$/MWh | 10.8 \$/MWh | 17.7 \$/MWh |

EPR

The average PSH value to the system operator is lower in the moderate VRE mix case than in the base VRE mix case. This is because although the total system operating cost savings are larger than in the base VRE mix case, the annual Gilboa generation is significantly larger.



Comparison between systems



The PSH plants in the Duke system show much larger system cost savings than the Gilboa plant. This is due to a variety of factors, including differences in regional capacity buildout, storage assumptions/constraints and system modeling assumptions.

When benefits are calculated on a per \$/MW PSH generating capacity basis, the difference in impact between the two systems is reduced somewhat, due to the difference in PSH capacities between the two systems.

| | Duke | Gilboa |
|----------------------|--------|--------|
| Capacity (MW) | 2,180 | 1,160 |
| Reservoir size (MWh) | 45,000 | 14,000 |
| Efficiency | 80% | 71% |



Duke PSH plant profits are significantly larger than Gilboa profits. A handful of very high-priced hours have an outsized impact on plant profit levels in the Duke system.



Comparison between systems



The Duke PSH plants are dispatched much more frequently than the Gilboa plant. This is due to several factors:

- On average, the daily price separation is larger in the Duke system than it is in the NYISO system
- The Duke plants have an 80% efficiency, whereas the Gilboa plant has a 71% efficiency
- The Duke plants are modeled without any hurdle rates, whereas the Gilboa plant is modeled with a \$1/MWh hurdle rate.



Nuclear buildout sensitivities (NYISO)

| | DA Benefit of Gilboa Plant (\$million) | RT Benefit of Gilboa Plant (\$million) | DA Benefit of Gilboa Plant (%) | RT Benefit of Gilboa Plant (%) |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Moderate VRE Mix | \$6.25 | \$5.92 | 0.34% | 0.31% |
| High VRE Mix | \$19.05 | \$17.27 | 1.24% | 1.13% |
| Moderate VRE Mix - All Nuclear Retired | \$2.60 | \$2.67 | 0.12% | 0.12% |
| High VRE Mix - All Nuclear Retired | \$15.49 | \$13.06 | 0.96% | 0.79% |



Total system cost savings from Gilboa PSH plant



Retiring all nuclear capacity in the system decreases the benefits from the Gilboa plant. This effect is more pronounced in the moderate VRE sensitivity than in the high VRE sensitivity. This is because Gilboa dispatch is greatly reduced when nuclear is retired in the moderate VRE sensitivity, but only slightly reduced in the high VRE sensitivity. The daily price separation is greatly reduced when nuclear is removed in the moderate VRE sensitivity but is largely unaffected in the high VRE sensitivity. VRE sensitivity.

Nuclear buildout sensitivities (NYISO)





Technology sensitivities (NYISO)

| | Increased DA Benefit | Increased RT Benefit | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | from High VRE Mix (\$) | from High VRE Mix (\$) | |
| Modified C Rate | \$4,319,734 | \$3,535,271 | |
| Variable Speed PSH - Original Pmin (48%) | \$1,068,156 | \$493,819 | |
| Variable Speed PSH - 25% Pmin | \$1,626,985 | \$882,973 | |
| | | | |

The 'Modified C Rate' sensitivity shows the impact of increasing the PSH capacity, while keeping the tank storage size the same.

The increased unit capacity allows turbines to generate more during high-priced hours and pumps to pump more during low-priced hours, which increases the benefit of the Gilboa PSH plant by 23% in the DA cycle and 20%

in the RT cycle.

This offsets the shorter duration showing that, at least for the system under study, capacity is a key value proposition.

| Case | Generator Max (MW) | Pump Max (MW) | Reservoir Cap (MWh) | Gen Duration (h) | Pump Duration (h) |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Main Case | 290 | 310 | 14,000 | 12.1 | 11.3 |
| Higher | | | | | |
| Capacity/Shorter | 437.5 | 467.7 | 14,000 | 8 | 7.5 |
| Duration Case) | | | | | |

In the 'variable speed PSH' sensitivities, the pumping units can operate across a range of outputs, allowing them to provide reserves even when pumping. Two options are considered: Pmin = 48% of Pmax and Pmin = 25% of Pmax.

Dispatch in the energy market does not change significantly, but reserve provision increases substantially, increasing the benefit of the Gilboa PSH plant by 6-9% in the DA cycle and 3-5% in the RT cycle.



PSH operations sensitivities (NYISO)

Allow for Real-Time Re-Dispatching No Pumping or Generating Schedule Restrictions

| Increased DA Benefit | | Increased RT Benefit | | |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--|--|
| from High VRE Mix (\$) | | from High VRE Mix (\$) | | |
| 1 | \$0 | \$683,175 | | |
| | \$18,587,061 | \$12,972,705 | | |
| / | | | | |

In the sensitivity allowing for real-time re-dispatching, real-time Gilboa dispatch can deviate from the day-ahead schedule when the opportunity cost is large_enough.

This allows Gilboa the flexibility to respond to changes in real-time system conditions. The system benefit of the Gilboa PSH is **unchanged** in the DA cycle but increases by **4%** in the RT cycle.



The 'no pumping or generating schedule restrictions' sensitivity allows generators and pumps to be fully optimized by the solver, and to operate at any hour of the day, where previously turbine operation was restricted from 7am to 9pm, and pump operation from midnight to 6am.

Without restrictions, the Gilboa charging times change dramatically, with pumping units operating during the middle of the day to take advantage of the LMP 'duck curve' brought about by the high renewable penetration level.

The system benefit of the Gilboa PSH plant increases by 98% in the DA cycle and 75% in the RT cycle.

Key Insights

Accurately modeling PSH behavior presents several challenges

- Increased computational complexity
- Determining the appropriate storage parameters

The benefits of PSH were larger in the Duke system than the NYISO system

• Due to regional capability buildout differences, differing storage assumptions/constraints and varying system modeling assumptions

Economic impacts of PSH increase with variable renewable penetration

Cost savings increased x4 (Duke) or x9 (NYISO) in the high VRE case compared to the base case
Factor of 18 in NY if no pumping or generating schedule was imposed

Resource mix, technology assumptions and operations all impact value

- Adding batteries, removing nuclear reduce value
- Higher PSH capacity with same duration (lower C-rate) improved value in NY
- Variable speed pumping increased value, particularly for reserves
- Removing restrictions on when PSH operates nearly doubled the value (NY)

3

Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity