

*2023 ESIG Fall Technical Workshop
Session 4: Grid Code Interconnection Requirements Assessment*

Grid Code Compliance A Vendor Perspective

Oct 24, 2023

Sebastian Achilles
sebastian.achilles@ge.com
Consulting Services
GE Vernova


Outline

- IBR Product design and plant deployment
- Trends ...as seen from OEM
- Example: prescriptive grid requirement and system stability
- Final Comments

IBR Product Design/IBR Plant


- IBR product design precedes IBR plant project
- These processes include **many other aspects not related to grid compliance.**
- Performance Requirements and Design Validation include:
 - Global grid code requirements
 - Statements vs evaluation criteria
 - Prior application experience
- Product Design and Design Validation
 - Simulations in different platforms
 - HIL, full electrical system testing, container testing
 - Large set of operating conditions, grid strength and disturbances
 - Customer modeling and validation
- Adjustments of design processes for new products do not affect old products

High level design process



IBR Product Design/IBR Plant (cont)

Grid compliance activities



Trends


- Grid compliance activities are increasing in number and complexity
- Grid requirement related testing for performance validation and model validations continue to demand more attention from OEMs
- Increasing number of grid requirements affecting mechanical systems in a Wind Turbine IBR
- Grid entities with more interest and expertise on IBR grid performance
- IBR plant developers are focused on proving compliance and moving on. Sensitivity to schedule risks.
- Globally,
 - Systems that had limited IBR requirements are applying new relatively prescriptive requirements, typically focused on fast speed of response
 - Systems that demanded fast speed of response for several years seem to be moving towards stability margins and functional requirements
- Increasing cases with misalignment of IBR grid requirements and practical stability requirements of IBR plant projects



Example: Prescriptive Grid Requirement and System Stability

example criteria: post-fault reactive current settles to +10/-2.5% of final value within 100msec


- Input-Output Performance Assessment
Three-phase fault and clear SCR > 20 before and after fault



- IBR with Control A ✓
- IBR with Control B ✗
- IBR with Control C ✗

“Improved Framework for Dynamic Performance Evaluation of Inverter-Based Resources”. Dustin Howard et al. 22nd Wind & Solar Integration Workshop | Copenhagen, Denmark | 26 – 28 September 2023

- System-Level Performance Assessment – Weak Grid
Three-phase fault and clear Pre-fault SCR = 2, post fault SCR = 1.5



- IBR with Control A ✗
- IBR with Control B ✓

In this example, advanced controls that adapt reactive current injection based on system conditions (Control B) fail on the I/O Performance Assessment and pass the System Level Performance Assessment

Example: Prescriptive Grid Requirement and System Stability (cont)

- System-level performance requirements can help avoid interconnection challenges (for new projects)
- Deployment of large quantity of IBR plants based on I/O performance requirements can lead to system level issues
- Requirement documents and standards are typically long covering many aspects. This example focused on small section
- Requirements can be “negotiated” between developer/OEM and Grid authority to ensure system stability needs
 - Unusual. This may not be the case in practice.
 - Developers/lenders are focused on proving grid compliance promptly and are typically under schedule pressure
 - Problems may manifest after commissioning
 - The default/faster path to prove or achieve compliance preferably prioritizes system-level stability over prescriptive Input/Output requirements

Final Comments

- IBR OEMs have been modifying product design, incorporating grid compliance consideration in various stages to reduce deployment risks
- Grid performance testing, validation and modeling continue to be growing focus areas for OEMs designing new products
- Industry increased focus on IBR grid response
- Complexity of establishing IBR grid requirements. Prescriptive fast responses may result in unintended system level stability risks.
- We look forward for continue collaborations with developers, grid authorities and industry standard groups



Session 4: Grid Code Interconnection Requirements Assessment

Grid Code Compliance A Vendor Perspective




Sebastian Achilles
sebastian.achilles@ge.com
Consulting Services
GE Vernova



Grid Forming(GFM)... few comments on requirements

- Great progress in the Industry with GFM BESS. GFM Wind Turbines demonstrations
- Tremendous capability to mitigate key risks with energy transition like reduction of system inertia and weakening grid
- Grid requirements in drafting stage in several markets
- Advanced GFL and GFM IBRs features tend to be more impactful in System level performance assessments
- Operation close to limits of hardware capability evolving in requirement drafts



Phase Jump
Response
Comparison b/w
GFL and GFM

