

NERC

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

IBR Modeling Through the Lifecycle of a Project


Reliability Perspectives

Ryan D. Quint, PhD, PE
Director, Engineering and Security Integration, NERC
ESIG Webinar – December 2023

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY




"Start with Why" – Simon Sinek



"People don't buy what you do, they buy why you do it." – Simon Sinek

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2Ss78LFY3nE>

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY



The Modeling Problem, Simplified


What was studied




What was installed



The Modeling Conundrum



The Modeling Conundrum



Standard Library Model

- Simplified representation of control modes
- Fully transparent model given simplicity
- Often inability to represent actual OEM control strategies
- No or very limited ability to represent protection

User-Defined Model


- More detailed representation of OEM control strategies
- “Black box” model given detailed controls
- Can represent some or most of the OEM controls
- May represent some protections
- Still limited by software platform

EMT Model


- Most detailed representation of IBR
- Still generally an aggregated plant representation
- Includes inner control loops (very fast time step)
- Phase-based (not positive sequence)
- Can identify ride-through issues and interactions
- Minimal software limitations
- Data confidentiality issues

- Accurate models are *absolutely critical* for reliable operation of the bulk power system
 - Used in long-term planning, operations planning, and real-time operations
- Model inaccuracy leads to reliability issues **AND** possibly to delays in the interconnection process
- Process improvements around modeling and study challenges can bring clarity to both transmission and generation sides
- Model accuracy is not just usability (definition of the past)
- Model accuracy refers to matching the as-built facility, models with sufficient fidelity (ability to represent controls/protections), usability, etc.

The (Very Significant) Challenge Illustrated...



- OEM-supplied info = proof
- Standard library model is a BAD match to actual product
 - Steady-state differences
 - Dynamic response differences
 - Higher fidelity in UDM
 - More stability-related dynamics present in UDM



1,200 MW Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbance Report

Southern California 8/16/2016 Event
June 2017

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Industry Recommendation
Loss of Solar Resources during Transmission Disturbances due to Inverter Settings

Initial Distribution: June 26, 2017

NERC identified a potential characteristic exhibited by some inverter-based resources, particularly utility-scale solar photovoltaic (PV) generators, which reduce power output during fault conditions on the transmission system. The example of this behavior has been observed during recent BPS disturbances, highlighting potential risks to BPS stability. With the recent and increasing reliance of utility-scale solar resources, the causes of this reduction in power output from utility-scale power inverter-based resources need to be widely communicated and addressed by the industry. This industry should actively consider promoting actions in the area of power system planning and operations to reduce the system reliability impact in the event of widespread loss of solar resources during faults on the power system.

For more information, see the [1,200 MW Fault-Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbance Report](#).

About NERC Alerts:

Acknowledgment Required by NERC Staff on June 27, 2017
Reporting Required by NERC Staff on August 9, 2017

PUBLIC: No Restrictions
More on handling:

Instructions: This recommendation provides specific actions NERC registered entities should consider taking in response to a potential issue. Pursuant to Rule 35 of NERC's Code of Practice, NERC registered entities shall acknowledge receipt of this advisory within the NERC Alert system, and 30 report to NERC on the date of their activities in relation to this recommendation as provided below. For U.S. entities, NERC will compile the responses and report the results to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY

900 MW Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbance Report

Southern California Event: October 9, 2017
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report
February 2018

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Industry Recommendation
Loss of Solar Resources during Transmission Disturbances due to Inverter Settings - II

Initial Distribution: May 1, 2018

NERC has identified adverse characteristics of inverter-based resource performance during grid faults that could present potential risks to stability of the BPS. As the penetration of inverter-based resources continues to grow, it is important that the industry be aware of these characteristics and take steps to address them. This alert is intended to provide information to the industry on the characteristics of inverter-based resources and to encourage the industry to take steps to address these characteristics.

Although this NERC alert pertains specifically to BPS solar PV resources, the same characteristics may exist for non-BPS solar PV resources connected to the BPS regardless of inverter-based resource type. NERC encourages the industry to take steps to address these characteristics and to take steps to address these characteristics.

For more information, see the October 9, 2017 Canyon 2 Fault Disturbance Report.

About NERC Alerts:

Acknowledgment Required by NERC Staff on May 8, 2018
Reporting Required by NERC Staff on July 17, 2018

PUBLIC: No Restrictions
More on handling:

Instructions: This recommendation provides specific actions NERC registered entities should consider taking in response to a potential issue. Pursuant to Rule 35 of NERC's Code of Practice, NERC registered entities shall acknowledge receipt of this advisory within the NERC Alert system, and 30 report to NERC on the date of their activities in relation to this recommendation as provided below. For U.S. entities, NERC will compile the responses and report the results to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY

April and May 2018 Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbances Report

Southern California Events: April 20, 2018 and May 11, 2018
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report
January 2019

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Odessa Disturbance
Texas Events: May 9, 2021 and June 26, 2021
Joint NERC and Texas RE Staff Report
September 2021

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Multiple Solar PV Disturbances in CAISO
Disturbances between June and August 2021
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report
April 2022

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Panhandle Wind Disturbance
Texas Event: March 22, 2022
Joint NERC and Texas RE Staff Report
August 2022

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

2022 Odessa Disturbance
Texas Event: June 4, 2022
Joint NERC and Texas RE Staff Report
December 2022

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Industry Recommendation
Inverter-Based Resource Performance Issues

Initial Distribution: March 24, 2023

NERC analyzed multiple large-scale disturbances on the bulk power system (BPS) involving widespread loss of inverter-based resources (IBRs) in 2021 and 2022. Two disturbances in Odessa, Texas, resulted in abnormal performance across several Bulk Electric System (BES) solar photovoltaic (PV) generating resources. These resources have exhibited system performance issues that could lead to the potential loss of BPS-connected generation, with the potential to cause widespread impacts. As the penetration of BPS-connected IBRs continues to rapidly increase, it is important that the industry be aware of these characteristics and take steps to address them.

While this alert is being distributed to NERC's BES (BPS) solar PV resources, the recommendations should also be reviewed and implemented by owners of all BPS-connected solar PV resources. These resources have exhibited system performance issues that could lead to the potential loss of BPS-connected generation, with the potential to cause widespread impacts. As the penetration of BPS-connected IBRs continues to rapidly increase, it is important that the industry be aware of these characteristics and take steps to address them.

Note: This alert pertains specifically to solar PV resources; however, the recommendations may be applicable to BPS-connected battery energy storage systems (BESS). This alert does not pertain to wind resources as the observed performance issues are different.

For more information, see the NERC Major Event Analysis Reports [2021-05](#), [2021-06](#), [2021-07](#), [2021-08](#), [2021-09](#), [2021-10](#), [2021-11](#), [2021-12](#), [2022-01](#), [2022-02](#), [2022-03](#), [2022-04](#), [2022-05](#), [2022-06](#), [2022-07](#), [2022-08](#), [2022-09](#), [2022-10](#), [2022-11](#), and [2022-12](#).

Who are Involved? NERC
About NERC Alerts:

Instructions: This recommendation provides specific actions NERC registered entities should consider taking in response to a potential issue. Pursuant to Rule 35 of NERC's Code of Practice, NERC registered entities shall acknowledge receipt of this advisory within the NERC Alert system, and 30 report to NERC on the date of their activities in relation to this recommendation as provided below. For U.S. entities, NERC will compile the responses and report the results to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

San Fernando Disturbance
Southern California Event: July 7, 2020
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report
November 2020

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

2023 Southwest Utah Disturbance
Southwestern Utah: April 10, 2023
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report
August 2023

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

2022 California Battery Energy Storage System Disturbances
California Events: March 9 and April 6, 2022
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report
September 2023

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30328
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Table 3.1: Solar PV Tripping and Modeling Capabilities and Practices

Cause of Reduction	Can Be Accurately Modeled in Positive Sequence Simulations?	Can Be Accurately Modeled in EMT Simulations?
Inverter Instantaneous AC Overcurrent	No	Yes
Passive Anti-Islanding (Phase Jump)	Yes ^a	Yes
Inverter Instantaneous AC Overvoltage	No	Yes
Inverter DC Bus Voltage Unbalance	No	Yes
Feeder Underfrequency	No ^b	No ^c
Incorrect Ride-Through Configuration	Yes	Yes
Plant Controller Interactions	Yes ^d	Yes ^e
Momentary Cessation	Yes	Yes
Inverter Overfrequency	No ^b	Yes
PLL Loss of Synchronism	No	Yes
Feeder AC Overvoltage	Yes ^f	Yes
Inverter Underfrequency	No ^b	Yes


Odessa Report Finding: Do the Models Recreate the Cause?

Table 3.4: Review of Solar PV Facilities


Facility ID	Reduction [MW]	Cause of Reduction	Positive Sequence Model Capable?	EMT Model Capable?
Plant B	133	Inverter phase jump (passive anti-islanding) tripping.	Unknown*	Unknown
Plant C	56	Inverter phase jump (passive anti-islanding) tripping.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant E	159	Inverter ac overvoltage tripping.	Unknown*	Unknown
Plant U	136	Inverter ac overvoltage tripping; feeder underfrequency tripping.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant F	46	Unknown.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant I	196	Inverter phase jump (passive anti-islanding) tripping.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant J	106	Inverter dc voltage imbalance tripping.	Unknown	Unknown
Plants K + L	130	Momentary cessation/inverter power supply failure.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant M	146	Inverter dc voltage imbalance tripping; incorrect inverter ride through configuration.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant N	35	Unknown.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant O	15	Unknown.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant P	10	Inverter ac overcurrent tripping.	Unknown*	Unknown
Plant Q	12	Inverter ac overcurrent tripping.	Unknown	Unknown
Plant R	261	Inverter ac overcurrent tripping.	Unknown*	Unknown
Plant S	94	Inverter dc voltage imbalance tripping.	Unknown*	Unknown
Plant T	176	Inverter ac overcurrent tripping; feeder underfrequency tripping.	Unknown*	Unknown

ERCOT's answer...


(Circa 2022)



- Older “legacy” resources
- 920 MW loss across 9 facilities
- Systemic inverter tripping issues
- No action taken by industry based on guidelines and reports published by NERC
- Latent BPS risks that threaten BPS reliability
- Inadequate modeling and studies (older plants)




- Same story, different resource type
- Systemic inverter tripping issues
- Inadequate ride-through assessments conducted
- Poor commissioning practices
- Bad data, lost data, etc.
- Questionable modeling practices
- Relatively new facilities



- FERC IBR Registration Order in November 2022 (Docket No. RD22-4)
 - FERC approved NERC's Work Plan in May 2023
- ERO Enterprise coordinating with stakeholders on draft Rules of Procedure changes in June – August 2023
- ROP Revisions posted in September – October
 - Revisions to ROP Appendix 2, 5A, and 5B
- Proposes new “GO-IBR” and “GOP-IBR” functions
 - “...if the entity owns, maintains, or operates non-BES inverter-based generating resources that have an aggregate nameplate capacity of greater than or equal to 20 MVA, delivering such capacity to a common point of connection at a voltage greater than or equal to 60 kV.”⁹


- Project 2020-02: PRC-024 Generator Ride-Through
- Project 2020-06: Verifications of Models and Data for Generators
- Project 2021-01: Modifications to MOD-025 and PRC-019
- Project 2021-04: Modifications to PRC-002-2
- Project 2022-02: Modifications to TPL-001-5.1 and MOD-032-1
- Project 2022-04: EMT Modeling
- Likely more on the horizon... (FERC Order No. 901)


The Modeling Conundrum



- Require each interconnection customer of non-synchronous (IBR) generating facility to submit to transmission provider:
 - Validated user-defined RMS positive sequence dynamic model
 - Appropriately parameterized standard library RMS positive sequence dynamic model
 - Include model block diagram of inverter control system and plant control system
 - New table of “acceptable models” in procedures, or model approved by WECC
 - Validated EMT model if transmission provider performs EMT study as part of interconnection study process
- Defines “user-defined model” as:
 - “[A]ny set of programming code created by [OEMs] or developers that captures the latest features of controllers that are mainly software-based and represent the entities’ control strategies but does not necessarily correspond to any particular generic library model...”


- Requires any proposed modification to interconnection request be accompanied by updated models of proposed facility
- Cure period for model deficiencies; failures to meet requirements considered “incomplete” and “invalid”
- “Definition of a validated model (i.e., confirmation that the equipment behavior is consistent with the modeled behavior) is sufficiently flexible to enable interconnection customers to provide such a model with their interconnection requests.”
 - “...attestation that the models accurately reflect the...facility...based [on] best understanding at the time...[provides] further flexibility...to change the equipment or control systems of the proposed generating facility...”





- Dynamic Modeling Recommendations
 - Recommended dynamic modeling practices
 - Positive sequence library models
 - Positive sequence user-defined models (UDM)
 - Electromagnetic transient (EMT) models
 - Geomagnetic disturbance (GMD) models
 - Applicability to MOD-032
 - Guidance on which models to use for different types of reliability studies
 - Focus on ensuring model quality – accuracy, usability, fidelity, etc.
- Acceptable/Unacceptable Model List
 - Retired “NERC Acceptable Model List” – often misinterpreted and needed a rewrite
 - This Dynamic Modeling Recommendations document replaces that list
- Relation to FERC Order 901

[Dynamic Modeling Recommendations \(nerc.com\)](https://www.nerc.com/dynamic-modeling-recommendations)




- Dynamic models are used in reliability studies throughout the life cycle of a project.
- The recommended use of dynamic models across these studies varies based on the type of study being conducted
- For all studies, EMT models should be used if positive sequence simulation platforms or models are insufficient, or if high accuracy is desired


- All models should be detailed and accurate representations of ***expected or as-built facilities*** on the BPS
 - Including during interconnection studies and throughout the lifecycle of a project.
- Each TP and PC should establish clear, consistent, sufficiently detailed, and comprehensive modeling requirements.
 - Should include model quality checks and updates when needed.
- Project developers and GOs should meet the modeling requirements established by the TP and PC and provide adequate proof of conformance to the requirements.
 - Maintain an accurate model throughout the lifecycle of the project
 - Notify TP and PC of any expected changes or updates per NERC FAC-002 for in-service equipment; submit updated models accordingly

- Planners should require ***all*** the following for ***all*** BPS-connected generators:
 - Positive sequence standard library model
 - **Not** on the list of unacceptable models
 - Used for interconnection-wide cases to represent facilities outside study areas
 - Positive sequence user-defined model (UDM)
 - Used for system impact studies and local stability studies
 - Electromagnetic transient (EMT) model
 - Used for studying detailed BPS reliability risks increasingly prevalent and necessary for inverter-based resources
 - All models should be verified by OEM to be ***accurately parameterized*** to represent ***site-specific*** controls, settings, and protections with supporting documentation and attestations.
 - All submitted models should have an accompanying benchmarking report comparing models against each other (and discrepancies identified)

- MOD-032 designees have responsibility of creating interconnection-wide base cases used as starting point for TP and PC reliability studies
- Submitted models should meet all applicable requirements (including model acceptance criteria) and be accompanied by detailed documentation.
 - User manuals, OEM attestations, mapping between the model parameters, installed or to-be-installed facility settings, benchmark reports that show matching performance between the models
- Standard library models are sufficient for use in interconnection-wide base case creation but should be validated by the OEM and benchmarked against the OEM-verified EMT model or OEM-verified user-defined positive sequence model with the as-left or to-be-commissioned facility settings



- Directs enhancements to NERC Reliability Standards related to:
 - Terms of “Registered IBRs,” “unregistered IBR,” and “IBR-DERs”
 - Data sharing – modeling data and disturbance monitoring
 - Data and model validation
 - Standard library models
 - Steady-state, short-circuit, and dynamics
 - DER_A model for IBR-DERs
 - Model accuracy
 - Provisions for inability to gather data
 - System model validation
 - Planning and operational studies
 - Expanded grid conditions to study (e.g., low inertia)
 - Performance requirements
 - Ride-through performance, current injection, minimal exemptions



- Order No. 901 requires use of “approved industry generic library IBR models”
 - Steady state, short-circuit, and dynamics (no EMT, but did “encourage NERC and stakeholders to continue working in this area”
 - Planning, operations, and interconnection-wide models
 - Could reference NERC approved component model list
 - Per MOD-032-1, list defines models that may or may not be used in interconnection-wide base case creation
 - Includes IBR standard library models (i.e., “WECC models”)
 - “We decline[d] to...allow NERC the discretion to include alternatives to approved industry generic library models...”
 - “...similarly decline[d] to...allow transmission providers the discretion to diverge from the approved nation-wide component model list.”

- Order No. 2023 includes use of user-defined models for interconnection studies
- FERC expressed differences between interconnection studies and planning and operations studies; stated:
 - Interconnection study models “not typically shared or combined with models from neighboring systems”
 - Concerns with data sharing, model combination, model convergence, model transparency issues, etc.
 - Did not disallow TPs/PCs collecting UDMs but prohibited them for use in interconnection-wide base cases “so that [BPS] planners and operators can adequately predict behaviors and subsequent impacts to the reliable operation of the [BPS].”
- Highlighted entities that prefer or only allow standard library models: AEP, CAISO, ISO-NE, LADWP, PJM, NYSRC, etc.

- FERC also directed NERC “to establish a standard uniform model verification process”
 - Models are complete and accurately represent the dynamic behavior of resources at a sufficient level of fidelity”
 - Highlighted consistency among processes for FAC-002, MOD-026, and MOD-027
- NERC Project 2020-06 is revamping the model verification process presently


Without adequate modeling requirements, Transmission Planners cannot gather sufficient models from Interconnecting Customers. These requirements must be clear and consistent.

Just requiring models is not enough; those models must be checked for accuracy and sufficiency.

With an accurate model, the interconnecting facility can be tested to ensure it meets the performance requirements established.

Transmission Planners need to begin integrating EMT studies and rethinking positive sequence modeling and study processes.

Planning studies using EMT models will likely increase, other studies likely expand scenarios and sensitivities.



[NERC EMT Task Force](#)

**EMT = Electromagnetic Transient*



Questions and Answers

Ryan D. Quint, PhD, PE

Director, Engineering and Security Integration

ryan.quint@nerc.net