

# NERC

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC  
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

# Inverter-Based Resource Modeling Guidelines and Experience

Ryan D. Quint, PhD, PE

Senior Manager, NERC

Energy Systems Integration Group (ESIG) Webinar

December 2020

RELIABILITY | RESILIENCE | SECURITY



- **Q&A:**

- Go to [slido.com](https://slido.com)
- Enter event code **ESIG17**
- Submit your questions!

- **Recording:**

- This presentation is being recorded.
- Presentation materials will be posted after the webinar.

slido

Product

Solutions

Pricing

Resources

Enterprise

Log In

Sign Up

Joining as a participant?

# ESIG17

x



By using Slido you accept our [Policy](#)

- *Materials presented here...*
  - *Are not intended as compliance guidance.*
  - *Are intended to describe the technical aspects of inverter-based resource modeling and verification.*
  - *Are based on my experience and engagement with industry stakeholders, and may not necessarily be the opinions of NERC.*
- *Questions related to compliance can be directed to NERC Compliance Assurance department.*

## 1,200 MW Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbance Report

Southern California 8/16/2016 Event

June 2017

## 900 MW Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbance Report

Southern California Event: October 9, 2017  
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report

February 2018

## April and May 2018 Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbances Report

Southern California Events: April 20, 2018 and  
May 11, 2018  
Joint NERC and WECC Staff Report

January 2019

**NERC**  
NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC  
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

**RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY**

**Industry Recommendation**  
Loss of Solar Resources during Transmission Disturbances due to Inverter Settings

Initial Distribution: June 20, 2017

NERC identified a potential characteristic exhibited by some inverter-based resources, particularly utility-scale solar photovoltaic (PV) generation, which reduces power output during fault conditions on the transmission system. An example of this behavior has been observed during recent BPS disturbances, highlighting potential risks to BPS reliability. With the recent and expected increase of utility-scale solar resources, the causes of this reduction in power output from utility-scale power resources needs to be widely communicated and addressed by the industry. The industry should identify reliability preserving actions in the areas of power system planning and operations to reduce the system reliability impact in the event of widespread loss of solar-resources during faults on the power system.

For more information, see the [1,200 MW Fault Induced Solar Photovoltaic Resource Interruption Disturbance Report](#).

[About NERC Alerts >>](#)

**Status:** Acknowledgment Required by Midnight Eastern on June 27, 2017  
Reportable Required by Midnight Eastern on August 13, 2017

**Public:** No Restrictions  
[View on NERC website >>](#)

**Instructions:** This recommendation provides specific actions NERC registered entities should consider taking to respond to a particular issue. Pursuant to Part 13 of NERC's Rules of Procedure, NERC registered entities shall (1) acknowledge receipt of this advisory within the NERC Alert System, and (2) report to NERC on the status of their activities in relation to this recommendation as provided below. Part 13 entities, NERC will compile the responses and report the results to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

**RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY**

**NERC**  
NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC  
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

**RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY**

**Industry Recommendation**  
Loss of Solar Resources during Transmission Disturbances due to Inverter Settings

Initial Distribution: May 1, 2018

NERC has identified adverse characteristics of inverter-based resource performance during grid faults that could present potential risks to reliability of the BPS. As the penetration of inverter-based resources (particularly solar PV resources) continues to increase in North America, these adverse characteristics need to be widely communicated. This Level 2 Industry Recommendation alerts industry to these adverse characteristics observed with BPS-connected solar PV resources, and provides recommended actions to address fault ride-through and timely restoration of current injections by all inverter-based resources connected to the BPS. (See Background section for more information.)

Although this NERC alert pertains specifically to BPS solar PV resources, the same characteristics may exist for non-BPS solar PV resources connected to the BPS regardless of installed generating capacity or interconnection voltage. Owners and operators of these facilities are encouraged to consult their inverter manufacturers, review inverter settings, and implement the recommendations described herein. While this NERC alert focuses on solar PV, we encourage similar activities for other inverter-based resources such as, but not limited to, battery energy storage and wind resources.

For more information, see the October 9, 2017 Canyon 2 Fire [Disturbance Report](#).

[About NERC Alerts >>](#)

**Status:** Acknowledgment Required by Midnight Eastern on May 8, 2018  
Reportable Required by Midnight Eastern on July 31, 2018


**Public:** No Restrictions  
[View on NERC website >>](#)

**Instructions:** This recommendation provides specific actions NERC registered entities should consider taking to respond to a particular issue. Pursuant to Part 13 of NERC's Rules of Procedure, NERC registered entities shall (1) acknowledge receipt of this advisory within the NERC Alert System, and (2) report to NERC on the status of their activities in relation to this recommendation as provided below. Part 13 entities, NERC will compile the responses and report the results to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

**RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY**

**RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY**

3353 Peachtree Road NE  
Suite 600, North Tower  
Atlanta, GA 30326  
404-446-2560 | [www.nerc.com](http://www.nerc.com)




**Table ES.2: Overview of Resource Performance**

| Area                                              | Fault Event #1 [MW] | Fault Event #2 [MW] |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <b>BPS-Connected Solar PV Reduction</b>           |                     |                     |
| CAISO                                             | 122                 | 901                 |
| SCE                                               | 100                 | 535                 |
| PG&E                                              | 6                   | 79                  |
| LADWP                                             | 83                  | 62                  |
| IID                                               | 0                   | 37                  |
| <b>Total*</b>                                     | <b>205</b>          | <b>1,000</b>        |
| <b>Net Load Increases (Possible DER Tripping)</b> |                     |                     |
| SCE                                               | 5                   | 80                  |
| <b>Total*</b>                                     | <b>5</b>            | <b>80</b>           |



\* Summation of CAISO, LADWP, and IID BA footprints.

# San Fernando Disturbance: Plant using Momentary Cessation



- Actual:
  - Momentary cessation at 0.9 pu
  - 3 minutes return to pre-disturbance output
- Model:
  - $V_{dip} = 0.85$  pu
  - $R_{rpwr} = 1.0$  pu/sec
  - $T_{hld2} = 0.1$  sec
- Plant-level controller interactions not modeled


# San Fernando Disturbance: Plant using Current Injection




- Actual:
  - K-factor dynamic voltage control
  - Some inverters trip on ac overcurrent protection
  - Plant reduces P for about 10 seconds; Q increase during and after fault
- Model: speaks for itself...

- Basic model quality checks failed
- Incorrect parameterization – model does not match reality
- Solar PV resources modeled using *reec\_b* rather than *reec\_a*
- Default parameters abound
- Uncoordinated parameters (e.g., VDL tables, Vdip, etc.)
- Changes being made to equipment but models not updated
  - Confusion with “material modifications”
- TPs/PCs lack info to check validity of models provided
- MOD-026/-027 give false impression model accuracy for large disturbances
- Interconnection timeline crunch
- BESSs and hybrid plants add to complexity


***And this is just the fundamental-frequency, positive-sequence dynamic models.***





*Everything above the line has an incorrect model or no model...*



**Wind Plant  
Generator/Converter  
Models**



**Wind Plants  
Modeled with  
GENROU**



*Everything in grey is “not acceptable” per WECC modeling list and every major solar PV inverter OEM...*

# Timeline of Models Submitted

## Feasibility Study

- Little information known about plant
- Expected plant design (basic), powerflow
- TP/PC sets requirements

## System Impact Study

- More information known about plant
- Expected plant design (detailed), dynamics
- TP/PC sets requirements

## Commissioning

- As built designs, plant information, settings
- May deviate from expected models slightly
- Updates need to be provided to TP/PC asap

## MOD-032 Case Creation

- Regular data submittal process
- Most up-to-date plant data and models
- TP/PC review of data submitted


## MOD-026 and MOD-027 Verification

- Verification of actual plant performance and docs
- TP review of report and data submitted
- Latest expected performance from resource

## MOD-033 Verification

- TP/PC verification of wide-area performance
- Direction to GO to check model/settings

# Modeling and Verification Process: Example by Vestas



Source: Vestas

**NERC FAC-002-2  
Consideration**

**≠**

**FERC LGIP/SGIP  
Consideration**

**NOT THE SAME INTENTION OR PURPOSE**

- Any changes to electrical behavior of the facility need to be re-studied to ensure reliable operation of the BPS
  - Inverter make, model, software version, control settings
  - Plant-level controller made, model, software version, control settings
  - Changes to steady-state or dynamic controls
  - Changes in plant capacities or equipment capabilities

## FAC-002-2: Facility Interconnection Studies

### B. Requirements and Measures

**R1.** Each Transmission Planner and each Planning Coordinator shall study the reliability impact of: (i) interconnecting new generation, transmission, or electricity end-user Facilities and (ii) materially modifying existing interconnections of generation, transmission, or electricity end-user Facilities. The following shall be studied:  
*[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]*

- 1.1.** The reliability impact of the new interconnection, or materially modified existing interconnection, on affected system(s);
- 1.2.** Adherence to applicable NERC Reliability Standards; regional and Transmission Owner planning criteria; and Facility interconnection requirements;
- 1.3.** Steady-state, short-circuit, and dynamics studies, as necessary, to evaluate system performance under both normal and contingency conditions; and

### Guidelines and Technical Basis

Entities should have documentation to support the technical rationale for determining whether an existing interconnection was “materially modified.” Recognizing that what constitutes a “material modification” will vary from entity to entity, the intent is for this determination to be based on engineering judgment.

**Material Modification** shall mean those modifications that have a material impact on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Request with a later queue priority date.

Customer; and (c) a Permissible Technological Advancement for the Large Generating Facility after the submission of the Interconnection Request. Section 4.4.6 specifies a separate technological change procedure including the requisite information and process that will be followed to assess whether the Interconnection Customer’s proposed technological advancement under Section 4.4.2(c) is a Material Modification. Section 1 contains a definition of Permissible Technological Advancement.

**Permissible Technological Advancement** [Transmission Provider inserts definition here].

## Standard MOD-026-1 — Verification of Models and Data for Generator Excitation Control System or Plant Volt/Var Control Functions

### A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Verification of Models and Data for Generator Excitation Control System or Plant Volt/Var Control Functions
2. **Number:** MOD-026-1
3. **Purpose:** To verify that the generator excitation control system or plant volt/var control function<sup>1</sup> model (including the power system stabilizer model and the impedance compensator model) and the model parameters used in dynamic simulations accurately represent the generator excitation control system or plant volt/var control function behavior when assessing Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability.
4. **Applicability:**
  - 4.1. **Functional Entities:**
    - 4.1.1 Generator Owner
    - 4.1.2 Transmission Planner
  - 4.2. **Facilities:**

For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, Facilities that are directly connected to the Bulk Electric System (BES) will be collectively referred to as an “applicable unit” that meet the following:

- 4.2.1 Generation in the Eastern or Quebec Interconnections with the following characteristics:
  - 4.2.1.1 Individual generating unit greater than 100 MVA (gross nameplate rating).
  - 4.2.1.2 Individual generating plant consisting of multiple generating units that are directly connected at a common BES bus with total generation greater than 100 MVA (gross aggregate nameplate rating).
- 4.2.2 Generation in the Western Interconnection with the following characteristics:
  - 4.2.2.1 Individual generating unit greater than 75 MVA (gross nameplate rating).
  - 4.2.2.2 Individual generating plant consisting of multiple generating units that are directly connected at a common BES bus with total generation greater than 75 MVA (gross aggregate nameplate rating).

<sup>1</sup> Excitation control system or plant volt/var control function:

- a. For individual synchronous machines, the generator excitation control system includes: the generator, exciter, voltage regulator, impedance compensation and power system stabilizer.
- b. For an aggregate generating plant, the volt/var control system includes the voltage regulator & reactive power control system controlling and coordinating plant voltage and associated reactive capable resources.

## Standard MOD-027-1 — Verification of Models and Data for Turbine/Governor and Load Control or Active Power/Frequency Control Functions

### A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Verification of Models and Data for Turbine/Governor and Load Control or Active Power/Frequency Control Functions
2. **Number:** MOD-027-1
3. **Purpose:** To verify that the turbine/governor and load control or active power/frequency control<sup>1</sup> model and the model parameters, used in dynamic simulations that assess Bulk Electric System (BES) reliability, accurately represent generator unit real power response to system frequency variations.
4. **Applicability:**
  - 4.1. **Functional entities**
    - 4.1.1 Generator Owner
    - 4.1.2 Transmission Planner
  - 4.2. **Facilities**



For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, Facilities that are directly connected to the Bulk Electric System (BES) will be collectively referred to as an “applicable unit” that meet the following:

- 4.2.1 Generation in the Eastern or Quebec Interconnections with the following characteristics:
  - 4.2.1.1 Individual generating unit greater than 100 MVA (gross nameplate rating).
  - 4.2.1.2 Individual generating plant consisting of multiple generating units that are directly connected at a common BES bus with total generation greater than 100 MVA (gross aggregate nameplate rating).
- 4.2.2 Generation in the Western Interconnection with the following characteristics:
  - 4.2.2.1 Individual generating unit greater than 75 MVA (gross nameplate rating).
  - 4.2.2.2 Individual generating plant consisting of multiple generating units that are directly connected at a common BES bus with total generation greater than 75 MVA (gross aggregate nameplate rating).
- 4.2.3 Generation in the ERCOT Interconnection with the following characteristics:

<sup>1</sup> Turbine/governor and load control or active power/frequency control:

- a. Turbine/governor and load control applies to conventional synchronous generation.
- b. Active power/frequency control applies to inverter connected generators (often found at variable energy plants).

- Captures response to small grid disturbances such as frequency excursions and small voltage swings
- Commonly used for verification testing
- Neglects large disturbance behavior (i.e., faults)



# Expectations for Verification Reports

## 17.2 REGCAU1

### Renewable Energy Generator/Converter Model

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS.  
Machine identifier # \_\_\_\_\_ ID.  
This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
and STATES starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
and VARs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ L,  
and ICONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ M.

| CONs | # | Value | Description |
|------|---|-------|-------------|
| J    |   |       |             |
| J+1  |   |       |             |
| J+2  |   |       |             |
| J+3  |   |       |             |
| J+4  |   |       |             |
| J+5  |   |       |             |
| J+6  |   |       |             |
| J+7  |   |       |             |
| J+8  |   |       |             |
| J+9  |   |       |             |

## 18.2 REECAU1

### Generic Renewable Electrical Control Model

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS.  
Machine identifier # \_\_\_\_\_ ID.  
This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
and STATES starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
and VARs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ L,  
and ICONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ M.

## 22.1 REPCAUI & REPCTAU1

### Generic Renewable Plant Control Model

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS.  
Machine identifier # \_\_\_\_\_ ID.  
This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
and STATES starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
and VARs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ L,  
and ICONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ M.



| CONs | # | Value | Description                                                          |
|------|---|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| J    |   |       | Tftr, Voltage or reactive power measurement filter time constant (s) |
| J+1  |   |       | Kp, Reactive power PI control proportional gain (pu)                 |
| J+2  |   |       | Ki, Reactive power PI control integral gain (pu)                     |
| J+3  |   |       | Tft, Lead time constant (s)                                          |
| J+4  |   |       | Tfv, Lag time constant (s)                                           |
| J+5  |   |       | Vfrz, Voltage below which State s2 is frozen (pu)                    |
| J+6  |   |       | Rc, Line drop compensation resistance (pu)                           |
| J+7  |   |       | Xc, Line drop compensation reactance (pu)                            |
| J+8  |   |       | Kc, Reactive current compensation gain (pu)                          |
| J+9  |   |       | emax, upper limit on deadband output (pu)                            |
| J+10 |   |       | emin, lower limit on deadband output (pu)                            |
| J+11 |   |       | dbd1, lower threshold for reactive power control deadband (<=0)      |
| J+12 |   |       | dbd2, upper threshold for reactive power control deadband (>=0)      |
| J+13 |   |       | Qmax, Upper limit on output of V/Q control (pu)                      |
| J+14 |   |       | Qmin, Lower limit on output of V/Q control (pu)                      |

Source: PTI

- Thorough explanations:
  - Models selected and why
  - Model data sheets (or model) with values entered
  - Validation of **EVERY** parameter
    - Commissioning, verification, or factory test reports
    - Communication with manufacturer
    - Engineering judgement
  - Small disturbance expectations
  - Large disturbance expectations
  - Model limitations and capabilities






Source: SMA




**Transmission  
Planning Studies**

# Brainstormed Validation Process







## **NOTICE:** **ACTIONABLE RECOMMENDATIONS** **CONTAINED WITHIN!**

- Strong recommendations to improve interconnection requirements AND interconnection study processes
- All TOs/TPs/PCs should be considering this guideline and adopting its recommendations, as applicable



**Use Cases for EMT  
Studies for IBRs**

Controls stability (large  
and small disturbance)

Sub-synchronous control  
interactions (plant-to-grid)

Unbalanced power  
flow studies

Power quality  
studies

Benchmarking positive  
sequence models


Controls interactions (plant-to-  
plant and within the plant)

Ride-through capability and  
performance analysis

Potential protection  
system operation


Short-circuit  
current analysis

Low short circuit  
strength networks




- **Performance:** How should BESSs and hybrid plants behave when connected to the BPS?
- **Modeling:** What are the recommended modeling techniques for capturing the characteristics of BESS and hybrid plant technology?
- **Studies:** What types of studies should be conducted to ensure reliable operation of the BPS with BESSs and hybrid plants?


## Modeling



Source: GE



Source: IEEE



Source: BPA

## Studies

## Reliability Decisions

- Reliability Guidelines ([here](#))
- NERC Inverter-Based Resource Performance Task Force ([here](#))
- Guideline: Recommended Performance for BPS-Connected IBRs ([here](#))
- Guideline: Improvements to Interconnection Requirements ([here](#))
- Blue Cut Fire Disturbance Report ([here](#))
- Canyon 2 Fire Disturbance Report ([here](#))
- Palmdale Roost and Angeles Forest Disturbance Report ([here](#))
- San Fernando Disturbance Report ([here](#))
- NERC Alert: Loss of Solar Resources I ([here](#))
- NERC Alert: Loss of Solar Resources II ([here](#))
- NERC-WECC Modeling Report ([here](#))
- IRPTF Modeling and Studies Report ([here](#))
- Summary of ERO Activities for IBR ([here](#))
- IEEE P2800 ([here](#))



## Questions and Answers

**Ryan Quint, PhD, PE**

Senior Manager

BPS Security and Grid Transformation

North American Electric Reliability Corporation

Phone: (202) 809-3079

[ryan.quint@nerc.net](mailto:ryan.quint@nerc.net)