



Redefining Resource Adequacy for Modern Power Systems

ESIG November Webinar | 11/16/2021



T E L O S E N E R G Y

Acknowledgements



[ESIG Whitepaper: Redefining Resource Adequacy for Modern Power Systems](#)

[ESIG Blog: Five Principles of Resource Adequacy for Modern Power Systems](#)

[ESIG Webinar: Redefining Resource Adequacy for Modern Power Systems](#)

[ESIG/GPST Policy Brief: The Intersection of Resource Adequacy and Public Policy](#)

This project is supported by the Energy Systems Integration Group (ESIG), as part of the Redefining Resource Adequacy Task Force. The contents of this presentation are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of ESIG or its members

» Next Steps:

- CIGRE Paper on Evolving Metrics
- 2022 Topics



Agenda

1. Principles of Resource Adequacy
2. Gaps in Weather Modeling & Assumptions
3. Evolving Resource Adequacy Metrics
4. Pitfalls of Capacity Accreditation



A Tale of Two Reliability Events

California

August 14-15, 2020

Evening load shedding
across two days

Texas

February 15-17, 2021

Continuous load shedding
for 3+ Days

What can we learn from the California and Texas events?



- **Not all shortfalls are alike...** need to characterize size, frequency duration, and timing of events



- **Risk is shifting...** periods of concern longer occur during gross-peak load, need to look across an entire year of operation



- **Weather** is the single most important driver for resource adequacy...
 - Cross-disciplinary power systems and meteorological expertise is necessary
 - We need a North-American Weather Dataset for correlated wind, solar, and load
 - Climate trends should be considered
 - Correlated events are the issue!



- **Resource sharing** is critical, transmission is a capacity resource




Why is Resource Adequacy Broken?

CHRONOLOGY + CORRELATION

- ✓ Variable Renewables
- ✓ Energy Storage
- ✓ Load Flexibility
- ✓ Hybrid resources

- ✓ Weather
- ✓ Combined Outages
- ✓ Modular Technology
- ✓ Climate Trends



= fundamental need to rethink RA

Six principles of resource adequacy for modern power systems

- 1 Quantifying size, frequency, duration, and timing of capacity shortfalls is critical to finding the right resource solutions
- 2 Chronological operations must be modeled across many weather years
- 3 There is no such thing as perfect capacity.
- 4 Load participation fundamentally changes the resource adequacy construct.
- 5 Neighboring grids and transmission are a key part of the RA challenge
- 6 Reliability criterion should not be arbitrary, but transparent and economic.





Weather Modeling for Resource Adequacy Modeling

Chronological operations must be modeled across many weather years



Chronological operations must be modeled across many weather years


- Historically, resource adequacy analysis had a relatively simple task: ensure there is enough capacity installed to meet peak load
- VRE and energy-limited resources are changing this construct
- Periods of risk are not necessarily periods of high load.
- All intervals matter for resource adequacy analysis
- Chronological operations and scheduling ensure energy storage and demand response will be around long enough, and can fully recharge, to get the system through reliability challenges



Identifying Multi-Day Low Wind & Solar Events

Daily Load Served by Wind and Solar (including BTM PV)


Source: GridLab, Telos Energy – California
Pathways to 100% Clean Energy, forthcoming



Dec. 16-23, 2010: Record-setting rain and snowfall across Southern CA (annual precipitation amounts were achieved in 7 days)



Evolving resource adequacy needs with decarbonization



Source: ESIG, adapted from E3, "ELCC Concepts and Considerations for Implementation," Prepared for August 30th, 2021 NYISO Installed Capacity Working Group.




Weather data modeling gaps... dealing with imperfect data

The ideal dataset: time-synchronized weather dataset covering solar, wind, load, and temperature data across electric and gas sectors that includes a climate trends

Filling the Gaps: what do we do when we don't have data? Wide spectrum of approaches to fill the gaps.
(bootstrapping, repeating data, guessing...)

Next Steps: we need a broad, national-scale weather dataset from a **consistent source** on *wind/solar/load/temperature*

Cross-disciplinary, inter-regional research and development is necessary



Resource Adequacy Metrics


Quantifying size, frequency, duration, and timing of capacity shortfalls is critical to finding the right resource solutions



Quantifying size, frequency, duration, and timing of capacity shortfalls is critical to finding the right resource solutions

Our metrics need to go further!

1. Place more emphasis on Expected Unserved Energy
2. Use a suite of reliability metrics, not just one
3. Move beyond expected values and consider tail events
4. Characterize size, frequency, duration, and timing of shortfall events



Event Characteristic	Metric Affected	California Aug 2020	Texas Feb 2021	Delta
Number of Days	LOLE	2 days	4 days	+200%
Number of Events	LOLEv	2 events	1 event	-50%
Number of Hours	LOLH	6 hours	71 hours	+1200%
Unserved Energy	EUE	2,700 MWh	990,000 MWh	+36,700%
Max Shortfall		1,072 MW	20,000+ MW	+1,766%



Our capacity resources are no longer “one size fits all”...

Storage and load flexibility can provide both power (MW) and energy (MWh) ... how much is needed of each?

Larger Power Shortfalls (MW)

Energy (MWh)	Max Size (MW)																				Total
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	>=200	
20	19.9%	14.4%	5.31%																		39.6%
40		0.70%	6.02%	6.11%	1.41%																14.2%
60			0.61%	2.34%	3.90%	1.60%	0.26%														8.7%
80			0.03%	0.58%	1.89%	2.18%	1.41%	0.16%													6.2%
100			0.03%	0.06%	0.64%	1.63%	1.66%	0.90%	0.06%	0.13%	0.06%										5.2%
120					0.06%	0.42%	1.12%	1.47%	0.67%	0.16%	0.10%	0.03%									4.0%
140							0.51%	1.02%	0.74%	0.35%	0.06%										2.7%
160						0.06%	0.32%	0.80%	0.42%	0.48%	0.19%	0.03%	0.03%								2.3%
180					0.03%		0.10%	0.35%	0.38%	0.42%	0.32%	0.06%	0.06%								1.7%
200							0.10%	0.29%	0.42%	0.51%	0.42%	0.32%	0.06%	0.03%	0.03%						2.2%
220						0.03%		0.06%	0.42%	0.16%	0.35%	0.26%	0.10%	0.03%							1.4%
240								0.06%	0.10%	0.16%	0.16%	0.29%	0.19%								1.0%
260								0.06%	0.03%	0.19%	0.35%	0.16%	0.29%	0.10%	0.19%		0.03%				1.4%
280							0.03%	0.03%	0.03%	0.03%	0.19%	0.19%	0.22%	0.10%	0.19%		0.03%				1.1%
300								0.03%		0.03%	0.16%	0.13%	0.13%	0.10%	0.03%						0.6%
320									0.10%	0.06%	0.06%	0.22%	0.10%	0.16%				0.03%			0.7%
340										0.03%	0.03%	0.16%	0.06%	0.16%	0.03%						0.5%
360										0.03%	0.10%	0.19%	0.06%	0.29%	0.03%	0.06%					0.8%
380											0.06%	0.03%	0.06%	0.13%	0.13%	0.16%					0.6%
400											0.06%	0.03%	0.16%		0.10%	0.06%	0.06%				0.5%
>400											0.16%	0.10%	0.22%	0.16%	0.35%	0.74%	0.32%	0.51%	0.42%	1.60%	4.6%
Total	19.9%	15.1%	12.0%	9.1%	7.9%	5.9%	5.5%	5.2%	3.3%	2.7%	2.7%	1.9%	2.0%	1.0%	1.5%	1.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	1.6%	100%

70% of events covered by 60 MW 2HR resource


85% of events covered by 100 MW 2HR resource



Capacity Accreditation

Translating resource adequacy to resource procurement






“Go ahead. Nothing to worry about.”

Source: Liza Donnelly, fineartamerica.com



PRM has outlived its usefulness for power system planning

- Risk is shifting to non-peak periods
- Relies on ELCC to remain credible (saturation and portfolio effects are increasingly difficult)
- Storage makes the system *energy* limited, not capacity limited
- Thermal units have correlated outages (UCAP based on FOR is not applicable)



More info: Gord Stephen, "[Getting Past Capacity Credits, Better Deterministic Adequacy Analysis via Energy Reserve Margins](#)," NERC Probabilistic Assessment Forum, Oct 6, 2021.



Challenges for ELCC in Long Term Planning & Procurement

Saturation Effects


- ✓ Capacity value decreases as installations increase
- ✓ Reliability risk shifts to different time periods
- ✓ Duration requirements increase (peak periods become wider)
- ✓ Energy availability for charging

Portfolio Effects

- ✓ Capacity value of one resource depends on penetration of another
- ✓ A resource reduces risk in some periods, shifting risk to others
- ✓ Net load profile changes over time




Saturation Effects



Source: Brattle, NYISO



Portfolio Effects




Source: E3




Average or Marginal ELCC?

The E3 Proposal for portfolio effects



+ E3 developed the Delta Method as a way to ensure intuitive allocation of interactive effects


- ❑ PJM's application of the Delta Method was recently approved by FERC
- ❑ Average ELCC of a given resource is its Marginal ELCC plus an allocation of the Diversity Benefit based on its contribution to it



Source: E3, [Capacity and Reliability Planning in the Era of Decarbonization](#)




There is no such thing as perfect capacity



Version Date: 4/22/2021

Wind and solar MW values based on estimated lost output due to outages and derates from slides 15 and 16.

Sources:
[ERCOT Preliminary Report on Causes of Generator Outages and Derates For Operating Days February 14 – 19, 2021 Extreme Cold Weather Event](#)




- + Highly correlated outages, especially in extreme cold snaps
 - + Ambient derates during extreme temperatures
 - + Fuel supply risk and gas sector dependency
- = Need to apply ELCC to other resource types to pick up on peak winter risk**



Alternatives to the Planning Reserve Margin

PG&E “Slice of Day”



HECO Energy Reserve Margin

Hourly Available Energy

Hourly Demand with Energy Reserve Margin

Firm Capability
- Maintenance
+ Hourly Dependable
Capacity
+ Shifted Load
+ Interruptible Load

$\geq$

Load Demand $\times$ (1+ Energy Reserve Margin%)



Inputs, Assumptions,
Constraints & Scenarios

PRM must be replaced with an iterative approach
between capacity expansion planning *followed by*
resource adequacy analysis to test the portfolio

Capacity
Expansion
Planning

Timing and type of
resource additions

Resource
Adequacy
Analysis

Meet reliability
criteria?

NO

YES

Reliable portfolio of
resource additions

Production
Cost
Simulations

Grid service needs met &
no flexibility violations?

NO

YES

Commitment &
Dispatch Conditions

Network
Stability
Simulations

Acceptable frequency &
voltage stability? Ride-Thru?

NO


YES

Adjust ERM or adjust
specific resources based
on size, frequency, and
duration of shortfall

Change grid service needs,
add specific resource,
transmission, or NWA

Add new resources,
NWA, or transmission

Adjust generator and inverter controls
Adjust grid services & redispatch



Next Steps for Resource Adequacy

- Calibration of New Metrics
- Best Practices for Weather Data
- Capacity Accreditation Alternatives



TELOS ENERGY



Thank You!

Questions?



Derek Stenclik

derek.stenclik@telos.energy

Telos Energy



TELOS ENERGY