

Session 1: Oscillation Monitoring, Tracing & Mitigation in Operations

Setting the Stage




Nick Miller, HickoryLedge
2024 ESIG/G-PST SPECIAL TOPIC WORKSHOP

A DEEPER LOOK AT OSCILLATIONS
March 28, 2024

Stability: A big space.


Helpful...but doesn't quite capture the practical reality of oscillations in high IBR systems



It's complicated

Practitioners and researchers have focused on many faces of oscillations with IBR involvement.

The relationships between them can be confusing.



Occam's Razor*

(The simplest explanation is usually the best one)



While this topic is complex, practical simplifications cover most oscillations:

1. **Something is broken:**
some aspect of the installation is not what you thought it was
2. **Controls are too aggressive for the condition:**
gains too high, time constants too short, delays too long
3. **The simulation is bad:**
wrong or inadequate models or the wrong tool is used

Yes, there are more complicated, more “interesting” problems that get the experts and researchers excited.

But don't start there!

*Entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitate: “Entities must not be multiplied beyond necessity”

Something is Broken



Such as.....

- Bad signals, e.g. switched polarity or phase rolling on signals
- Parameters like gains or ratios improperly implemented, documented or per-unitized
- Equipment in improper operating paradigm, e.g. start-up, standby, island mode, or off.
- Equipment is physically broken, such as stuck actuators, shorts, or failed circuitry

Doesn't lend itself to simulation, as such

Need:

Tools to localize the “bad actor”

Controls are too aggressive for the condition



Simple reality, complicated mitigation. A path of increasing cost, time and complexity tends to emerge: (e.g.)

- Control setpoint adjustment; Operation or dispatch adjustment (within plant)
- Operation adjustment on host network (dispatch, topology switching)
- Control parameter modification (tuning)
- Reduction of series (or shunt) compensation levels.
- Control structure modification (e.g. POD, reduced latency, altered PLL, convert to GFM,...)
- Additional passive elements within plant (e.g. compensation, filtering, detuning of resonances)
- Additional active elements within plant (e.g. STATCOM, active filters, Storage with GFM)
- Grid reinforcement, SCR increase, addition of dynamic compensation or other active devices

Mitigation options are likely to have some negative consequences

Need:

Tools to design the “best” mitigation

Simulation is bad



- IBR model structure inappropriate for the problem
- IBR model poorly parameterized
- Choice of simulation platform inappropriate for the problem
- Network representation of inadequate scale for the problem
- Network representation poorly parameterized
- Linearization incorrect/inappropriate for the needed small signal analysis

Need:

Tools to assure good fidelity simulation results

Where are we with Oscillations?

- It can be complicated, but not always
- We know and understand a lot
- But not everything

What do we need to go forward?

- Understanding
- Tools
- Technology
- Rules....

Coming soon!



Diagnosis and Mitigation of
Observed Oscillations in IBR-
Dominant Power Systems:
A Practical Guide



Thanks



Nicholas.miller@hickoryledge.com