



The Advent of the Inverter-Based System

Will We Be Ready for a Future Without Synchronous Machines?

March 11, 2021

Sebastian Achilles

sebastian.achilles@ge.com

GE Energy Consulting

Energy Systems Integration Group


Charting the Future of Energy Systems Integration and Operations



About the Question

Will We Be Ready for a Future Without Synchronous Machines?

- Are we? -> No
- Will we? -> May be
- **Without (?)** Synchronous Machines
 - Will We Be Ready for a Future **with significantly less** Synchronous Machines
- Motivation to address the question



71% instantaneous

25% Annual
energy

Moderate annual
averages translate to
high instantaneous
penetrations

Source: Drake Bartlett, PSCO, 2018

March 10, 2021

Energy Systems Integration Group


Charting the Future of Energy Systems Integration and Operations



About the Question

- Since I do not have a better answer available, will elaborate on:
 1. Technology readiness and gaps
 2. System reliability, tougher requirements and deployment pace.... A balancing act
 3. Motivation, framework and value

Technology Readiness and Gaps



- Deployment and technology gaps (blue)
- Modularity vs tailor-made industry

Technology Readiness and Gaps

- Who owns the gap? Generation and/or network infrastructure
- Interoperability related to stability -Grid forming (GFM) technology
 - Several levels of GFM features.
 - Technology development and requirement definitions
 - Grid forming inverter vs grid forming sources
 - Single GFM source (Offshore wind HVDC example) and multiple GFM sources (Distributed BESS systems)
 - What equipment was deployed with some GFM features: BESS and HVDC
 - What equipment could be designed with **some** GFM features: BESS, HVDC, PV, WTGs, STATCOMs
 - Potential hardware modifications from GFL to GFM: energy buffer and fault current contribution magnitude
- Protective relaying
 - Reliable and secure fault detection with lower (different) fault contributions
 - Require GFM to contribute to faults like sync machines vs modifying protection schemes and technology
- Orchestration of assets in a BPS - GFM is not enough
 - GFM helps addressing fast interoperability risks, but not all risks
 - EMS, curtailment, BESS SOC , ancillary services associated with stability features

System reliability, tougher requirements and deployment pace.... A balancing act

- This subject is already a challenge in several markets/regions globally
- System operators, RTO, Reliability **entities responsibilities of maintaining BPS reliability** with “significantly less synchronous machines” in the system during increasing number of hours
 - Updates to interconnection processes and other reliability verifications –
 - Increase or modified performance requirements
 - More and more difficult simulations and test requirements to verify performance
 - *With prior processes system interoperability risks may be missed*
- **Developer and OEM supporting high pace of IBR deployments**
 - Interconnection requirements can increase cost and schedule
 - Uncertainty when processes get more complex
 - Unfulfilled commitments, penalty payments and LDs
 - Increased product compliance verification
 - *Long and uncertain processes slow down deployments*
- **What needs to be balanced?**
 - Length and complexity of processes and simulation requests
 - Focus on aspects critical to system reliability
 - Performance requirements that are not unnecessarily prescriptive

Motivation, framework and value

- **Lower energy capture expected** for Wind and PV :
 - PV systems and Wind Turbine design/control based on **maximum energy capture**
 - Create value stream based on IBR reliability features to compensate for revenue of energy not captured
- “Technology” of interest to developers and OEM engineering organizations are typically focused on aspects affecting LCOE
- OEM organizations tend to be driven by large market needs

The Advent of the Inverter-Based System

Will We Be Ready for a Future Without Synchronous Machines?