



Incorporating Stressful Grid Conditions for Reliable and Cost-Effective Electricity System Planning


Trieu Mai, Patrick Brown, Luke Lavin, Surya Dhulipala, Jess Kuna, ReEDS+PRAS modeling teams

March 19, 2025

ESIG Spring Technical Workshop

Resource Adequacy (RA) is a component of grid reliability



2



www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/85880.pdf

“[t]he ability of the electric system to supply the aggregate electric power and energy requirements of electricity consumers at all times while taking into account scheduled and reasonably expected unscheduled outages of system components”

RA is the primary reliability component driving capacity expansion models



Challenge is to find the **lowest cost** resource adequate system, but **computational** constraints apply

RA and CEM methods need to advance as the resource mix evolves




FIGURE 4






Transition of Capacity Accreditation Methods

Increasing
shares of variable
renewables and
energy-limited
resources

1. Nameplate capacity of resources
2. Expected capacity available at the time of peak load
3. Expected capacity available at the time of peak net load
4. Expected capacity available at the time of high risk
5. Expected capacity and energy available from resources during periods of high risk

Source: Energy Systems Integration Group.

<https://www.esig.energy/new-design-principles-for-capacity-accreditation/>




+ many more

What's the matter with capacity credit?


5

1. Capacity credit is assessed in the planning region where a resource is **sited**, even if it is used to meet RA needs in a **different** planning region



3. Capacity credit does not account for **resource complementarity** or **load diversity**

Take the least-**windy** day in each region.
How windy are other regions **on that day**?




2. “Peak load” or “peak net load” may not be the **most stressful period**

What's the matter with capacity credit?


6

1. Capacity credit is assessed in the planning region where a resource is **sited**, even if it is used to meet RA needs in a **different** planning region



3. Capacity credit does not account for **resource complementarity** or **load diversity**

Take the **peak demand** day in each region.
How close are other regions to their peak **on that day**?




2. "Peak load" or "peak net load" may not be the **most stressful period**

4. Requires additional verification to assess whether the intended **reliability target (LOLE, NEUE)** has been met; capacity credit and planning reserves are reliability proxies

New “Stress Periods” Method


1. Identify days with highest risk of unserved energy for all regions (“stress periods”)
2. Include stress periods for each region and model them coincidentally for U.S. wide capacity expansion
3. Iterate until reliability target is achieved for all regions

Overview of the Stress Periods Method




Implementation details (customizable)

Disaggregation to individual units




User-specified reliability target




EPRI 2024. <https://www.epri.com/research/products/000000003002030638>

Target applied to 18 planning regions




9




Illustrative Results



Considers multiple sources of grid stress and their changes over time



Modeled Pacific Northwest wind CF




Illustrative Results

And finds the optimal solutions* to meet the stresses

11



* Solutions chosen from multiple combinations of **resources** and **regions**




How much does the choice of RA method matter?

12


Compare cost and reliability of portfolios designed using the Stress Periods method vs. a traditional **Capacity Credit** method



The Stress periods method results in **lower costs**




The Stress periods method results in lower costs and **greater reliability**




Benefits are achieved through reducing “overbuilds” and finding a more-optimal resource mix

Stress periods method results in greater transmission expansion, especially interregional transmission



Better captures transmission's benefit to **geographic diversity** and **resource sharing**




But requires **interregional coordination** in planning and operations.

The method can be used to quantify the benefits of coordination (e.g., National Transmission Planning Study)

Advantages of the Stress periods method exist across a range of conditions

16



The choice of RA method is more important when there is greater reliance on **weather-dependent resources**, with more **interregional coordination**, and for **larger systems sizes**

Conclusions

- Introduced a (computationally manageable) planning method that integrates probabilistic RA modeling to identify and model **grid stressful periods** directly for capacity expansion decisions
- The stress periods method results in systems that are both **lower cost and more reliable** compared to a traditional capacity credit-based approach
- How RA is considered in capacity expansion becomes more important with **greater weather-dependent resources** and **interregional coordination**
- The method is straightforwardly extendable: more **weather conditions**, wider range of **outages**, multiple **reliability metrics**, and more

Thank you

Trieu.mai@nrel.gov

Patrick.brown@nrel.gov

www.nrel.gov

This work was authored researchers from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Wind Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

