ESIG Webinar: Market Design Concepts for Evolving Power Systems		
Question	Answer	
Do you have an opinion on European energy market	We have not done a specific analysis on this proposed market reform, and so we	
reforms, such as proposed by Greece recently? The	cannot provide an quantified insights on this reform.	
proposal included separation the market of RES from		
thermal.		
Is the EMIS tool combining both capacity expansion &	Yes, via an agent-based modeling approach, but not in a fully co-optimized manner.	
production cost modeling analysis in one?	The investor agents make investment/retirement decisions based on price and	
	resoruce utilization predictions from a suite of simple capacity expansion model	
	scenarios, but any exogenous forward price predictions could also be used. The	
	"actual" market clearning process then plays out using a production cost model. As the	
	model moves to the next investment period, the agents then make recourse decisions	
	and update their beliefs about the future through learning with Kalman Filters.	
Does this work also examine & evaluate market designs	We are exploring different eligibility rules across multiple technologies (primarily IBR	
that "level the field" among options that vary	resources), which includes cases that allow full participation to effectively help to	
substantially in degree of variabilty and dispatchability?	"level the field."	
What is the data source for correlated thermal outages?	We plan to use the correlated thermal outages from this paper: Murphy, S., Sowell, F.,	
Is it from FERC forms?	& Apt, J. (2019). A time-dependent model of generator failures and recoveries captures	
	correlated events and quantifies temperature dependence. Applied Energy, 253,	
	113513.	
was Gas w/ CCS (e.g. Allam Cycle) modeled in the 17	No, it was not a technology option in our test system, but we plan to include more	
scenarios? why/why not?	technologies in the future.	
In the climate provisions of the new IRA, Congress	NREL is actively looking into the IRA language.	
clearly signalled that it wants a level playing field among		
the various zero-C generation options.		

How are CETs modelled in these studies ? (edited)	In the EMIS analysis (the second study presented), we included three clean energy targets (CETs) by 2035: 45% (low), 75% (mid), and 100% (high). In each case, we assume a 30% CET in our starting year of 2021 and a linear increase in the annual CET to achieve the desired 2035 target (i.e., 1%/year to reach 45%, 3%/year to reach 75%, and 4.67%/year to reach 100% by 2035). The 30% CET starting point was arbitrarily chosen to be slightly larger than the clean energy level of the starting system. We assume clean energy includes generation from wind, solar, hydropower, nuclear, and RE-CTs. EMIS enforces these CET requirements on a capacity basis via the forward Clean Energy Credit (CEC) market. This CEC market was modeled in the same way as the renewable energy certificate (REC) market in our earlier publication, but with additional technology eligibility in the CEC case:
Are you consider some markets for grid forming inverters? For example are you consider GFM for inertia market?	No, not specifically for GFM. We only included a very stylized generic IBR elibility case were wind, solar, and batteries can supply FFR. We make the simplifying assumption that FFR from these resources (regardless of inverter type) can tradeoff equally with traditional rotating-mass inertial response because both ultimately contribute to the high-level system need of maintaining frequency at very short timescales
was there any consideration on demand side management?	No, but this is high on our wish list for future work.