

Category	Geographic Scope & Timescales	Spatial and Temporal Data	Integration with other models
NREL ReEDS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National-scale with 134 regions available. Can aggregate regions.</li> <li>Typically, multi-decadal timescales.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model uses a single representation of load and renewable production profiles for solve years.</li> <li>Profiles can be based on several weather years of load and production data to capture correlations.</li> <li>Sites can be binned into resource classes and sub-categorized by interconnection costs.</li> <li>Individual sites can also be represented.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tools available to pass ReEDS output to production cost models and resource adequacy models. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PCM: PLEXOS &amp; SIIP, RA: Probabilistic RA Suite</li> </ul> </li> <li>No capability to directly pass data back from PCM and RA models into ReEDS.</li> </ul>
EPRI US REGEN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National-scale with flexible sub-regional detail down to state or NY zonal level.</li> <li>Default 16 sub-regions.</li> <li>Typically, multi-decadal with 5-year timesteps through 2050.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model uses a single representation of load and renewable production profiles for solve years.</li> <li>Profiles can be based on several weather years of load and production data to capture correlations.</li> <li>Profiles can be regional or site specific.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electric sector and end-use demand simulation.</li> <li>Non-electric fuels and fuel production.</li> <li>Models converge on energy price and quantities available.</li> <li>Temperature changes due to climate change are represented in the end-use demand model.</li> <li>Tools available to pass REGEN output to production cost models.</li> </ul>
Optimal Capacity Expansion Planning Model v2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National/multi-national and adaptive to other geographies.</li> <li>Typically, multi-decadal timescales.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can be interfaced with other tools like production cost modeling and resource adequacy.</li> <li>Other models are not directly integrated with this tool.</li> </ul>
EnCompass v6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Single or multiple ISO/RTO.</li> <li>National scale for climate impact plans.</li> <li>Typically, multi-decadal timescales.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can be run for production cost simulation, resource adequacy and DC optimal power flow runs.</li> <li>Expansion not directly integrated with other results, but the same database can be used.</li> </ul>
CGT-Plan (Expansion Planning Modeling System EPMS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically, single or multiple ISO/RTO</li> <li>Typically, multi-decadal timescales.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Iterative analysis between EPMS and CGT-Plan expansion output which uses expansion results in production cost models, then modifies expansion plan constraints and re-runs.</li> <li>Plans to include resource adequacy assessment within the larger EPMS system.</li> </ul>
RESOLVE v2.0 (beta)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can be run for capacity expansion or production cost modeling.</li> <li>E3 co-develops Pathways (decarbonization model) and RECAP (resource adequacy model), but these are not directly integrated tools with RESOLVE.</li> </ul>
Aurora v14.2			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can be run for production cost simulation, resource adequacy and DC optimal power flow runs.</li> <li>Expansion not directly integrated with other results, but the same database can be used.</li> </ul>
PLEXOS v9.1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can be run for production cost simulation, DC optimal power flow and resource adequacy.</li> <li>Can model gas, water, hydrogen and generic commodity markets.</li> <li>Expansion not directly integrated with PCM and RA results, but the same database can be used.</li> </ul>
Power System Optimizer 3.1 / ENELYTIX		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically, single or multiple ISO/RTO and multiple interconnections.</li> <li>Typically, multi-decadal timescales.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model uses a single representation of load and renewable production profiles for solve years.</li> <li>Profiles can be based on several weather years of load and production data to capture correlations.</li> <li>Profiles can be regional or site specific</li> <li>User controlled flexible stochastics.</li> </ul>

Category	Capacity Adequacy	Energy Adequacy and Chronological Dispatch
NREL ReEDS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Constant seasonal PRM by region taken from NERC long-term reliability assessment.</li> <li>Dispatchable resources use summer/winter capacities.</li> <li>Hydropower uses seasonal ratings.</li> <li>VRE and storage use ELCC solved every 2 years based on net load correlation for 2007-2013 weather year 8,760 dispatch.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>17 time slices, four daily periods for each season and one afternoon summer peak.</li> <li>Time slices use average profiles for load and VRE generation.</li> <li>Between each pair of solve years using a single weather year 8,760 load and renewable profile to determine average and marginal curtailment of VRE and transmission and storage ability to reduce VRE curtailment.</li> </ul>
EPRI US REGEN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Default PRM is set to 7% above peak net load by sub-region. PRM must be met by dispatchable resources within the region.</li> <li>Capacity credit by resource is based on correlation between resource generation and load from the 8760 weather year profile used and endogenous to the model.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically, 120 representative hours are created for each 5-year period and energy adequacy is evaluated for each sub-region.</li> <li>Dispatch is computed for representative hours and weighted by hours represented by the segment.</li> <li>Energy storage uses a system state approach based on Worgin et al. (IEEE, 2016).</li> <li>Alternatively, can use a 1 year 8760 hour static equilibrium approach which allows for fully endogenous storage investments when looking at years further out.</li> </ul>
Optimal Capacity Expansion Planning Model v2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluates 8,760 hourly load profiles against VRE generation, storage charging/discharging and other generation assets.</li> <li>8,760 representation captures low wind and solar days.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8,760 chronological supply-demand balance considering ramping constraints of different technologies.</li> <li>Investment decisions are made annually or every five years (can hybridize investment periods).</li> </ul>
EnCompass v6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PRM targets using three-point demand curve (min, target, max) for regions or sub-regions.</li> <li>Capacity enforcement can be tailored to annual, month, season, etc.</li> <li>Resources contribute to PRM based on firm capacity contribution (ELCC curves).</li> <li>Demand resources assumed to target peak reduction.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Representative periods use average profiles and chronological dispatch within the periods. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type 1 week uses 7 representative days each month.</li> <li>Type 2 on-peak/off-peak uses 2 days per month.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Timesteps can also be aggregated within periods to provide detail in profiles where needed (e.g., high detail in morning and peak and less midday/overnight)</li> <li>Ending conditions (e.g., storage charge) target beginning conditions for energy limited resources.</li> <li>Demand and VRE profiles are adjusted to maintain peak, peak hour, min load and total energy.</li> </ul>
CGT-Plan (Expansion Planning Modeling System EPMS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses PRM and capacity value inputs by region modeled.</li> <li>Sharing capacity between regions is allowed with deliverability of shared capacity enforced under a 115% of peak load condition.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Periods are modeled non-chronologically using time-slices (or “blocks”).</li> <li>Typically, 15-20 blocks per year are used to represent the three seasons and several periods of unique interest (e.g., regional peaks). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Least-cost dispatch is modeled for every block.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Storage and demand reduction is modeled using adjoining blocks by season. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Charging (discharging) in block <math>k</math> is discharged (charged) in block <math>k+1</math>.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
RESOLVE v2.0 (beta)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically uses PRM and capacity value inputs (net qualifying capacities/ELCC).</li> <li>Has been used with alternative capacity adequacy approaches (e.g., HECO Energy Reserve Margin).</li> <li>Sub-zonal transmission investment heuristic trigger helps determine if enough transmission is available at peaks to meet peak resource production.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically uses a representative day approach for dispatch. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ex) 37 representative days with 24-hour resolution.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Energy only resources can be selected which ignores the need for transmission capacity to be available during peak periods (employed in CPUC IRP).</li> </ul>
Aurora v14.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses PRM and capacity value inputs.</li> <li>Price signals from inadequate capacity provide additional economic incentive to build resources.</li> <li>Firm capacity input directly by user or determined dynamically as resources are added to the system (ELCC curve).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chronological dispatch or load duration curve methodologies available.</li> <li>Dispatch can be sampled into chunks of hours by days of week or weeks of the year.</li> </ul>
PLEXOS v9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses PRM and capacity value inputs.</li> <li>Can incorporate ELCC curves. Economic signals from scarcity, A/S, transmission congestion and natural gas scarcity provide additional incentives for build decisions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Three chronology options are available to use depending on system needs. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fitted, sampled and partial (derivative of load duration curves).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Recommended using fitted or sampled chronology for renewable, storage and demand response modeling.</li> </ul>
Power System Optimizer 3.1 / ENELYTIX	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses PRM and capacity value inputs.</li> <li>Also considers reliability and economic constraints (transmission congestion, fuel scarcity, etc.) as drivers for investment decisions.</li> <li>Firm capacity input directly or determined dynamically as resources are added to the system (ELCC curves).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Both load duration curves , 8,760 chronological, and representative periods methods are available.</li> <li>Chronological 8,760 data can be used and aggregated into time slices or time step chunks.</li> <li>Intertemporal constraints such as storage state of charge targets, fuel constraints, emissions, resource availability are maintained between aggregated time steps.</li> </ul>

Category	Co-optimizations	Transmission Representation	Transmission Investment
NREL ReEDS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves for bulk power system.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model between 134 zones.</li> <li>• Representative paths for new transmission based on land slopes and terrain types to identify lowest cost route.</li> <li>• Spur lines connecting VRE sites uses cost adders. Bulk transmission is greenfield single-circuit 500 kV lines.</li> <li>• AC vs DC lines differ on cost and losses.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investments in interzonal capacity expansion based on \$/MW-mile costs.</li> <li>• HVDC lines can be modeled as multi-terminal for VSC DC converter stations to allow an HVDC macro grid.</li> </ul>
EPRI US REGEN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves for bulk power system.</li> <li>• Fuel production/conversion, gas/CO2/H2 pipeline expansions and flows.</li> <li>• Also includes 8760 hour end-use demand module which is run in iteration with the energy production model to convergence.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model.</li> <li>• Intra-zonal transmission upgrades represented with cost adders.</li> <li>• Different voltages or line types evaluated based on costs, losses, lifetime and length limits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Every 5-year timestep additional transmission between zones evaluated in cost minimization objective.</li> <li>• Costs are based on high voltage AC lines in a \$/GW-mile metrics developed by EPRI.</li> </ul>
Optimal Capacity Expansion Planning Model v2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage, and reserves for bulk power system</li> <li>• Adjacent energy infrastructure (e.g., hydrogen production, transport and storage).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model.</li> <li>• Different voltages or line types evaluated based on costs, losses, lifetime and length limits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission investments based on technology parameters on a cost per MW and cost per MW-km basis.</li> </ul>
EnCompass v6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves, and environmental policies for bulk power system.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model with bi-directional limits, losses, tariffs, and flowgates.</li> <li>• Nodal representation is possible with DC power flow which use load and generation shift factors.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission upgrades available for selection by the model along with resource expansion.</li> <li>• Capital costs and recovery requirements dictate project economics and selection.</li> </ul>
CGT-Plan (Expansion Planning Modeling System EPMS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and DERs.</li> <li>• DERs are modeled using a single three-segment, three-bus feeder at each load bus.</li> <li>• Each feeder bus represents rooftop solar, microturbines, energy efficiency and DR which can be selected.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Characterized as a DC power flow nodal model.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission investments are represented by expanding line limits, with impedance unchanged.</li> <li>• Investments are also made at the voltage level of the existing line using a cost per MW-mile as a function of voltage level.</li> <li>• New transmission links are modeled as existing zero-capacity circuits.</li> </ul>
RESOLVE v2.0 (beta)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves for bulk power system.</li> <li>• Includes electrolyzer operations.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model with bi-directional MW ratings.</li> <li>• Interface limits can be defined for single or multiple lines and vary over model hours/years.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The model selects transmission upgrades based on a levelized \$/MW-year cost based on capex and financing assumptions.</li> <li>• Sub-zonal transmission upgrade costs triggered by combinations of zonal resource investments to be selected by the model. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Based on heuristics for VRE levels and transmission needs developed by E3.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Aurora v14.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves for bulk power system.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model for capacity expansion.</li> <li>• Different voltages or line types evaluated based on costs, losses, lifetime and length limits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission investments are represented using sensitivity cases, not selected by the model.</li> </ul>
PLEXOS v9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves for bulk power system.</li> <li>• Adjacent energy infrastructure (e.g., hydrogen, natural gas, heat, water).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model for regional zonal configurations. Different voltages or line types evaluated based on costs, losses, lifetime and length limits.</li> <li>• Offers roundtrip integration with Siemens PSS/E power flow software for production cost simulation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investments can be assessed using sensitivity cases or by allowing the model to select transmission upgrades based on cost information and recovery requirements.</li> <li>• Individual transmission component (e.g., reconductoring) upgrades can be represented and selected if nodal representation is used.</li> </ul>
Power System Optimizer 3.1 / ENELYTIX	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generation, transmission, storage and reserves for bulk power system.</li> <li>• Adjacent energy infrastructure, fuel networks, fuel storage and fuel supply and conversions. (methane, hydrogen, heat, water)</li> <li>• Co-optimized expansion of generation, transmission, storage (including impact of duration (MWh vs MW), fuel systems (including impacts of reliability on capacity expansion)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pipe and bubble transport model, nodal or hybrid zonal/nodal with bi-directional limits, losses, tariffs and flowgates.</li> <li>• Nodal representation with DC power flow for both Generation and Transmission Expansion Options</li> <li>• Different voltages or line types evaluated based on costs, losses, lifetime and length limits.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transmission investments are available for selection by the model based on fixed and variable costs, system constraints, and greenfield vs brownfield.</li> <li>• Full parameterization of transmission investments on par with generation expansion.</li> <li>• Full security-constrained power flow identifies impacts on adjacent facilities and need for concurrent upgrades / installations.</li> <li>• Multiple facilities with different costs and constraints can be evaluated and constrained based on other investments</li> <li>• Individual transmission component (e.g., reconductoring) upgrades can be represented and selected.</li> </ul>

Category	Model Availability and Base Datasets	Runtime and Performance	Documentation
NREL ReEDS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open access but requires a GAMS license and CPLEX license (or open-source solver).</li> <li>Processed data available with model, raw base data is from public sources.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A single run with default settings takes &lt;12 hours on 16 GB RAM laptop.</li> <li>Full-year chronological dispatch or individual site resolution for wind require high-memory or HPC clusters. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Runtimes are 2 days or more.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Docs: <a href="https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/78195.pdf">https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/78195.pdf</a></li> <li>Access: <a href="https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/reeds/request-access.html">https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/reeds/request-access.html</a></li> </ul>
EPRI US REGEN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Available through EPRI.</li> <li>Development of open-source version is in progress.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typical model is for 2015-2050, using 120 time segments for each 5-yr timestep and default 16 sub-regions takes ~30 minutes with 128 GB, 6 thread HPC.</li> <li>1 year 8760 hour model takes ~2 hours with HPC.</li> </ul>	Docs: <a href="https://us-regen-docs.epri.com/">https://us-regen-docs.epri.com/</a>
Optimal Capacity Expansion Planning Model v2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Commercial availability TBD.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ex) European energy system model with 70 zones, 8760 hours per year, 2022-2050, 5-yr investment decisions solves in 10-12 hours with HPC.</li> <li>Reducing slices to every second hour, third day and 12<sup>th</sup> week (or other combination) allows 32 GB laptops to solve.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Docs: Not publicly available</li> <li>Reference provided: <a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0360544221006277">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0360544221006277</a></li> </ul>
EnCompass v6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>License by Anchor Power Solutions. Partnership with Horizons Energy provides National Database (NDB) for licensees.</li> <li>Data available for 78 markets within NERC. Generation, zonal transmission, fuel prices, load and A/S forecast data.</li> <li>Nodal datasets are available for Eastern Interconnect, WECC, and ERCOT.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Horizons Energy 30-year NERC expansion uses typical on-peak/off-peak 2-days per month and hourly aggregation and runs in ~8 hours.</li> <li>Annual production cost models take ~1.6 hours per year.</li> <li>Single large RTO (e.g., MISO), 30-yr expansion ~1 hour expansion and 45 min per year for PCM.</li> <li>Recommended min 4 cores, 32 GB of RAM with 4 simultaneous 8,760 runs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Docs: Not publicly available</li> <li>Website: <a href="https://anchor-power.com/encompass-power-planning-software/">https://anchor-power.com/encompass-power-planning-software/</a></li> </ul>
CGT-Plan (Expansion Planning Modeling System EPMS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model is research-grade and users commission developer (Iowa State University) to run.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model runtime and performance is dependent on model size and model reduction software used.</li> <li>Typically, runtimes are 1-6 hours using 300-400 bus models on an Intel-Xeon Linux server having 252 GB RAM and 32 CPUs at 2.70 GHz.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inquiries should be directed to James McCalley at <a href="mailto:jdm@iastate.edu">jdm@iastate.edu</a>.</li> </ul>
RESOLVE v2.0 (beta)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Users typically commission E3 to develop and run the model. Input datasets offered upon client request.</li> <li>Open-source license available end of 2022.</li> <li>No built-in data provided with the model.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically, generation resources, balancing areas are aggregated, and time series sampled to improve runtime.</li> <li>Runtimes range from minutes to several hours based on aggregations, scope, and timescale.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CPUC Public Release Version: <a href="https://files.cpuc.ca.gov/energy/modeling/2021%20PSP%20RESOLVE%20Package.zip">https://files.cpuc.ca.gov/energy/modeling/2021%20PSP%20RESOLVE%20Package.zip</a></li> </ul>
Aurora v14.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Licensed by Energy Exemplar.</li> <li>Data available from Energy Exemplar for resources, load, existing generation and transmission in the United States and Canada.</li> <li>Capacity expansion futures from Energy Exemplar are also available.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model timestep chunks, day/week selection, and size drive the computing and runtime needs.</li> <li>Some users implement non-standard hardware such as gaming laptops, desktops or larger virtual machines.</li> <li>WECC zonal data with out-of-the-box configuration can run standard 25-year expansion in several hours.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Docs: Not publicly available</li> <li>Website: <a href="https://www.energyexemplar.com/aurora">https://www.energyexemplar.com/aurora</a></li> </ul>
PLEXOS v9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Licensed by Energy Exemplar.</li> <li>Data available for 50+ countries. Zonal Electric and Nodal Electric available for U.S., Canada and New Zealand. Fundamental natural gas models also available.</li> <li>PLEXOS user published datasets, such as Pan-European snapshot or PLEXOS World.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides PLEXOS Cloud platform for scalable cloud computing environment to fit model needs.</li> <li>WECC zonal data with out-of-the-box configuration can run standard 25-year expansion in several hours.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Docs: Not publicly available</li> <li>Website: <a href="https://www.energyexemplar.com/plexos">https://www.energyexemplar.com/plexos</a></li> </ul>
Power System Optimizer 3.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Licensed either for PSO engine (standalone) or through ENELYTIX cloud-based platform.</li> <li>Data available from ENELYTIX for existing generation, load and transmission (zonal or nodal).</li> <li>Datasets cover North America, Europe and other select locations.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Model runtime and performance dependent on size and resolution of the model.</li> <li>RTO-scale multi-decade expansion models typically solve between tens of minutes to several hours.</li> <li>ENELYTIX cloud-based service allows for scalable computing environment to fit user needs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Docs: Not publicly available</li> <li>Website: <a href="https://www.enelytix.com/home/psos">https://www.enelytix.com/home/psos</a></li> </ul>